



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.6089 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.6089 of 2026

D.Williams

... Petitioner

Vs.

State by,
The Inspector of Police,
Arani Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Crime No.724 of 2025.

... Respondent

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.724 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Doraiswamy

For Respondent : Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 318(4) of BNS Act, 2023 r/w 15(3) of Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 in Crime No.724 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that on 30.10.2025, when the defacto complainant/Chief Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Arani, Tiruvannamalai District held a surprise inspection in the clinic run by the petitioner in the name and style of M/s.Suji Clinic, it came to light that the petitioner, who is not a qualified doctor, was treating patients and was also prescribing allopathic medicines to them. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a qualified Ayurveda Doctor and that he has obtained certificates in this regard from the Tamil Nadu Board of Indian Medicine, Madras and Institute of Psychotherapy and Management Sciences. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that the petitioner is a quack and holding only SSLC qualification, he was treating patients and prescribing allopathic medicines.

5. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), it is seen that it is a case where the petitioner is a quack and by holding only SSLC qualification he was treating patients and prescribing



allopathic medicines to them. Apart from that, when the petitioner's premises was inspected he has shown certificates issued by the Tamil Nadu Board of Indian Medicine, Madras and Institute of Psychotherapy and Management Sciences and other certificates. However, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that those certificates are fake certificates, which factor was recorded by this Court in an earlier order in Crl.O.P.No.30245 of 2025 dated 06.11.2025. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

6.However, it is made clear that the dismissal of anticipatory bail application, it does not mean that the petitioner has to be immediately arrested. It is made clear that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has time and again emphasised that arrest should be the last option. Therefore, the respondent are now directed to proceed with the investigation and take action in accordance with law by following the procedure as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau Of Investigation* reported in *(2026 INSC 115)* and *2025 SCC OnLine SC 1578*.



Crl.O.P.No.6089 of 2026



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.,

Tsg

7.The Criminal Original Petition is disposed of accordingly.

10.03.2026

Tsg

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Arani, Tiruvannalamalai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Arani Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District,
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P.No.6089 of 2026