



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6078 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6078 of 2026

R.Karthick Raja
S/o.Ravikumar,
No.85, Nehru Street,
Gandhi Nagar,
Redhills,
Tiruvallur-600 052.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by:

The Inspector of Police,
T-1, Ambattur Police Station,
[Cr.No.1 of 2026].

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the case pending in Cr.No.1 of 2026 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): M/S.D.Dhansekar

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.01.2026 for the alleged offences under Section 194 of B.N.S.S. @ under Sections 315 and 108 of B.N.S. in Crime No.1 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The *case of the prosecution* is that the deceased and the petitioner herein, who were working in Airtel Office at Ambattur, were in 'live-in relationship' and when the defacto complainant did not any phone call from his daughter after 01.01.2026, he enquired about the same and came to know that the daughter of the defacto complainant had committed suicide by hanging herself and the petitioner, who was in 'live-in relationship' with the deceased, had abetted her to commit suicide. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that even according to the FIR, there is no allegation against this petitioner so as to attract 108 of B.N.S.. The petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and objected to grant bail to the petitioner. Though the learned Government Advocate strongly opposed to enlarge the petitioner on bail, he fairly conceded that the petitioner and the deceased were under 'live-in relationship'.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.



6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side), this is a case, where the petitioner and the deceased were under 'live-in relationship'. From the perusal of FIR, this Court is not in a position to find out any prima facie material so as to implicate this petitioner under Section 108 of B.N.S. Apart from that, the petitioner has been under incarceration from 15.01.2026.

7. In such view of the position, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following stringent conditions:

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required by the respondent police for interrogation;**

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]:

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

10-03-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Ambattur.
2. The Inspector of Police, T-1, Ambattur Police Station.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6078 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 6078 of 2026

10-03-2026