



WEB COPY

CRL MP No. 4751 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 13-03-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL MP No. 4751 of 2026  
in Crl.R.C.No.519 of 2026**

Selvakumar

..Petitioner

Vs

1. State represented by  
The Sub Inspector of Police,  
G6, Chithamur Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District.  
(Crime No.172/2022).
2. Cairo Engineering,  
No.378, Bharath Nagar,  
Pudupattinam, Kalpakkam,  
Chengelpat District - 603 102.

..Respondents

**PRAYER:** Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to permit the petitioner to implead the second respondent / proposed second respondent registered owner of the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-19-AX-4374 namely Cairo Engineering, No.378, Bharath Nagar, Pudupattinam, Kalpakkam, Chengelpat District - 603 102 as a second respondent in the above Criminal Revision Case.

For Petitioner:

Ms.M.Malar

For Respondent:

Mr.R.Kishore Kumar  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1



## **ORDER**

This petition has been filed to permit the petitioner to implead the proposed second respondent, namely Cairo Engineering, the registered owner of the vehicle bearing Registration No. TN-19-AX-4374 having address at No.378, Bharath Nagar, Pudupattinam, Kalpakkam, Chengelpat District – 603 102 as the second respondent in the above Criminal Revision Case.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that as per the amendment made to the Tamil Nadu Motor Vehicles Accidents Claims Tribunal Rules, 1989, whenever a vehicle involved in an accident and when such vehicle does not have a valid insurance policy, such vehicle should not be returned to the owner. Further, if the vehicle remains in the custody of the Court for more than three months, the same has to be sold and the sale proceeds have to be deposited before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal. It is further submitted that the owner of the vehicle must be heard in this regard. Therefore, the proposed second respondent, being the registered owner of the vehicle, is a proper and necessary party to the proceedings.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the first respondent has no serious objection for impleading the proposed second respondent.



4. In view of the above submission made by the learned counsel appearing on either side, the Crl.M.P.No.4751 of 2026 is ***allowed***.

WEB COPY

5. The Registry is directed to carry out the necessary amendment in the Criminal Revision Case.

**13-03-2026**  
**1/2**

NSL

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police, G6, Chithamur Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL MP No. 4751 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**NSL**

**CRL MP No. 4751 of 2026  
in Crl.R.C.No.519 of 2026**

**13-03-2026  
1/2**