



WEB COPY

WP No. 10022 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 10022 of 2026 AND WMP NO. 10830 OF 2026

P.Kuttyammal

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Tahsildar
Tiruvallur Taluk Tiruvallur District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Calling for the records of the impugned order passed by the respondent in T.R.No. 2025/0105/01/695843 dated 22.09.2025, quash the same and consequently direct the respondent issue patta in favour of the petitioner in respect of the property situated at S.F.No. 429/2B admeasuring to an extent of 1308 square feet of Pullarambakkam Village, Tiruvallur Taluk, Tiruvallur Sub Registration District, Kancheepuram Registration District within the stipulated time frame fixed by this Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.B.N.Sinega

For Respondent(s): Mr.C.Jayaprakash
Govt.Advocate



ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 22.09.2025 passed by the respondent, rejecting the petitioner's application seeking for issuance of Patta in her name for the property, morefully disclosed in the prayer to this writ petition on the ground that the subject property is Government land.

2.The petitioner has challenged the impugned order on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice and on the ground that it is a non-speaking order. The petitioner categorically contends before this Court that she is the absolute owner of the property and she is in possession of the same. She claims that by virtue of the sale deed of the year 2010, she became the absolute owner of the property and she continues to be in possession of the property till date.

3.Mr.C.Jayaprakash, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

4.As seen from the impugned order, the contentions of the petitioner as raised in this writ petition have not been considered by the respondent and the petitioner was also not afforded any opportunity of hearing prior to the passing of the impugned order.

5.Being an order passed in violation of principles of natural justice and being a non-speaking order, necessarily, the impugned order dated 22.09.2025



passed by the respondent has to be set aside by this Court and the matter has to be remanded back to the respondent for fresh consideration, on merits and in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 22.09.2025 passed by the respondent is hereby quashed and the matter is remanded back to the respondent for fresh consideration, on merits and in accordance with law. The petitioner is directed to submit a written explanation along with the supporting documents to the respondent stating as to how she is entitled for the issuance of patta in her name, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said written explanation from the petitioner within the stipulated time, the respondent shall pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving due consideration to the written explanation submitted by the petitioner as well as the supporting documents produced by her and after hearing all the necessary parties on the petitioner's application seeking for grant of Patta in her name for the subject property, within a period of eight weeks thereafter. Consequently, connected WMP is closed. No costs.

24-03-2026

vga

To
The Tahsildar
Tiruvallur Taluk Tiruvallur District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 10022 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

**WP No. 10022 of 2026
AND WMP NO. 10830
OF 2026**

24-03-2026