



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01-04-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 1657 of 2026

AND

CMP NO. 7736 OF 2026, CMP NO. 7744 OF 2026, CRP NO. 1663 OF 2026

1. G.Vijayalakshmi
D/o. Late Gopal, No.6/35, Semiamman
Kovil Street, 3rd Lane, Tondiarpet,
Chennai 81.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. G.T.Bakyaraj
S/o. G.Thiyagarajan, Plot No.107,
D.No.9, 23rd Street, Sri Sankara Nagar,
Pammal, Chennai 75.

2.Arivazhagan
S/o. A.Gangadharan, Plot No.270, Flat
No.D4, 41st Street, Sri Sankara Nagar,
Pammal, Chennai 75.

Respondent(s)

CRP No. 1663 of 2026

1. G.Vijayalakshmi
D/o. Late Gopal, No.6/35, Semiamman
Kovil Street, 3rd Lane, Tondiarpet,
Chennai 81.

Petitioner(s)

Vs



1. G.T.Bakayaraj
S/o. G.Thiyagarajan, Plot No.107,
D.No.9, 23rd Street, Sri Sankara Nagar,
Pammal, Chennai 75.

2.Arivazhagan
S/o. A.Gangadharan, Plot No.270, Flat
No.D4, 41st Street, Sri Sankara Nagar,
Pammal, Chennai 75.

Respondent(s)

CRP No. 1657 of 2026

PRAYER

To set aside the order of dismissal dated 28.10.2025 in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in H.M.O.P.No.803 of 2023 on the file of Subordinate Court at Alandur and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court.

CRP No. 1663 of 2026

PRAYER

To set aside the order of dismissal dated 28.10.2025 in I.A.No.2 of 2025 in H.M.O.P.No.803 of 2023 on the file of Subordinate Court at Alandur and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.R.Varalakshmi

For Respondent(s):

COMMON ORDER

Challenging the impugned orders passed by the learned trial Judge in I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2025 in H.M.O.P.No.803 of 2023 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Alandur, the petitioner has preferred these revisions.

2. The trial Court dismissed the applications stating that the reasons assigned by the petitioner were not acceptable and that recall cannot be granted



as a matter of routine. Aggrieved by the same, the present revisions have been filed.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the revision petitioner submitted that the revision petitioner was always ready to cooperate with the proceedings, but due to the illness of the earlier counsel, cross-examination could not be conducted on the relevant day. The absence was neither willful nor wanton. However, the trial Court closed the evidence of PW1.

4. It is also seen that the matter was adjourned for a long period, but there was no proper representation. Considering that the matter relates to a matrimonial dispute involving serious allegations requiring detailed evidence, this Court is inclined to grant one more opportunity.

5. Accordingly, the order passed by the learned trial Judge in I.A.Nos.1 and 2 of 2025 in H.M.O.P.No.803 of 2023 on the file of the Subordinate Judge, Alandur, are set aside, with a direction to the petitioner to cooperate with the trial proceedings.



6. The Civil Revision Petitions are allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. No costs.

WEB COPY

01-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
rri

To

1. The Subordinate Judge, Alandur.
2. The Section Officer,
VR Section, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 1657 of 2026



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.
rri

**CRP No. 1657 of 2026
AND CMP NO. 7736 OF
2026,CMP NO. 7744 OF
2026,CRP NO. 1663 OF
2026**

01-04-2026