



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6511 & 6512 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP Nos. 6511 & 6512 of 2026

Paneerselvam

..Petitioner(s) in both
Crl.O.P's

Vs

State Rep. By
Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime.No.480/2025).
(Crime.No.481/2025)

..Respondent(s) in both
Crl.O.P.'s

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No.6511 of 2026:- Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime.No.480/2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent and thus render Justice.

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No.6512 of 2026:- Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime.No.481/2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.E Kannadasan
For Respondent(s):	Mr.P.Dhileepan Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

COMMON ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offence under Sections 5 and 6 of Explosive Substances



Act, 1908, in Crime No.480 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2.The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the alleged offence under Sections 5 (a) and 6 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908, in Crime No.481 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has sold the explosive substances by violating the relevant rules to the owners of the land. Hence, the case.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that co-accused in this case has already been granted bail by this Court on 19.12.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.34799 of 2025 and Crl.O.P.No.34849 of 2025 respectively. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that while the petitioner holds a license for blasting work, related to well digging, road construction and agricultural purposes. he illegally sold the explosive



substances to the land owners. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent.

7. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that the co accused had already been granted bail, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Krishnagiri** on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form



No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am and 5.30 pm. for a period of thirty days and thereafter as and when required;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

18-03-2026

ep



WEB COPY



To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Krishnagiri.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 6511 & 6512 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

ep

CRL OP No. 6511 of 2026

18-03-2026