



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9317 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.06.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.No.9317 of 2025
and W.M.P.No.10467 of 2025

Samrat Nahata
S/o.Srikant Nahata,
No.121/187, Saintmarys Salai,
Alwarpet, Teynampet,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 018.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Indusind Bank Limited,
Rep. By its Branch Manager,
Ground Floor, 36 Kamarajar Salai,
Ramapuram, Chennai – 600 089.

2. Station House Officer,
Office of the Director General of Police &
H.O.P.F., Police Bhavan, Gandhinagar,
Gujarat, India – 382 007.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to de-freeze the petitioner's bank account No.159884668000 maintained in the second respondent bank within the time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Leeban
For Respondents
For R1 : Mr.D.R.Raghunath
For R2 : No appearance



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9317 of 2025

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed for direction directing the respondents to de-freeze the petitioner's bank account No.159884668000 maintained in the first respondent bank.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that only on the instruction given by the second respondent, the first respondent had frozen the petitioner's account and did not permit the petitioner to operate his account. The account has been frozen for the past three years without any reason. The second respondent also failed to appear before this Court to explain on what purpose the account of the petitioner has been frozen.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court. Even after serving the notice and also printed the name of the second respondent in the cause list, no one is appeared either by person or through pleader.

4. The petitioner is holding an account with the first respondent bearing account No.159884668000. While being so, on the instruction from the second respondent, the first respondent had frozen the account



W.P.No.9317 of 2025

of the petitioner and the same was duly informed to the petitioner by the communication dated 23.08.2023. Even after 1½ years, the investigation has not been completed and the account of the petitioner has been frozen without permitting the petitioner to operate it. Therefore, the petitioner submitted representation dated 24.01.2025 and there is no response from the second respondent.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to issue a directions to the first respondent to defreeze the account of the petitioner bearing account No.159884668000 and to permit the petitioner to operate his account, forthwith.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

02.06.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
rts



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9317 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN. J.

rts

To

1. The Branch Manager,
Indusind Bank Limited,
Ground Floor, 36 Kamarajar Salai,
Ramapuram, Chennai – 600 089.

2. Station House Officer,
Office of the Director General of Police
& H.O.P.F., Police Bhavan, Gandhinagar,
Gujarat, India – 382 007.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

W.P.No.9317 of 2025
and W.M.P.No.10467 of 2025

02.06.2026