



WEB COPY

Arb Appln No. 518 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Arb Appln No. 518 of 2026

M/s.Cholamandalam Investment and
Finance Company Ltd.,
Chola Crest, C54-55 & Super B – 4,
Thiru-vi-ka Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 032.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Hakkim M

..Respondent(s)

This application filed to direct the Respondent to furnish security for the sum of Rs.5,07,277/- within a time fixed by this Court failing which to order attachment of the immovable properties morefully described in the Schedule to the Judges Summons till enforcement of the award passed in the arbitration proceedings and a copy of the attachment order be transmitted through the Principal District Court, Coimbatore and the attachment order may be hand delivered to the Applicant for transmission.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Pradeep Kumar

For Respondent(s): No appearance

ORDER

The instant application has been filed for directing the respondent to furnish security for the sum of Rs.5,07,277/- within a time fixed by this Court failing which to order attachment of the immovable properties morefully



described in the Schedule to the Judges Summons till enforcement of the award passed in the arbitration proceedings and a copy of the attachment order be transmitted through the Principal District Court, Coimbatore and the attachment order may be hand delivered to the Applicant for transmission.

2. By order dated 10.03.2026, this Court had directed the respondent to furnish the security while ordering notice to the respondent. The notice on the respondent had been returned with an endorsement as 'person out of station'. However, the private notice had been returned with an endorsement as 'refused', which amounts to effective service on the respondent, and the name of the respondent had also been reflected in the cause-list. In spite of which, the respondent is neither present in the Court nor represented by an Advocate and he was called absent and set *ex parte*. As the respondent had failed to respond by furnishing security and place any reasons as to why he is not bound to furnish the security, there shall be an order of attachment as prayed for.

3. Registry is directed to communicate the order to concerned Sub-Registrar Office through the Principal District Court, Coimbatore.

24-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Maya



WEB COPY

Arb Appln No. 518 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

Maya

Arb Appln No. 518 of 2026

Dated : 24-06-2026