



WEB COPY

WP No. 10062 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 10062 of 2026

Rameshkumar
S/o.Sengodan,
Door No.16, Subbarayan Street,
Siruvalur, Gobichettipalayam Taluk,
Erode District- 638 054.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
District Collectorate,
Erode- 638 011.
2. Revenue Divisional Officer
RDO Office,
Gobichettipalayam- 638 452.
3. Zonaly Deputy Tahsildar
Tmt. Manimegalai,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District- 638 452.
4. Village Administrative Officer
Thiru.Ravikumar
Vellankoil village, Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District- 638 452.
5. Sub-Registrar
Thiru.Gokulnath,
Thingalur,
Erode District-638 005.
6. The Inspector of Police
Directorate of Vigilance and Anti- Corruption
(DVAC),
Kamala Nagar,Erode- 638 003.



7. Subramaniam
S/o.Chinnappa Gounder,
Door No.4/129A, Karattu Medu,
Samayasangili Agraharam,
Thiruchengodu Taluk,
Namakkal District- 638 008.

..Respondent(s)

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for the issuance of writ of Mandamus directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to consider the petitioner's representation dated 12.01.2026 and cancel the fraudulent patta in Sub divisions R.S.Nos. 336/3B and 336/4A, and direct the 6th Respondent to take appropriate action based on the complaint of the petitioner dated 12.01.2026 against the Respondents 3,4,5 and 7 in accordance with law and to pass orders.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.I.Arun Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.D.Ravichander
Special Government Pleader
For R1 to R5
Mr.V.Meganathan
Government Advocate
For R6
Mr.S.Arivazhagan
for R7

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the respondents 1 and 2 to cancel the alleged fraudulent patta issued in favour of the 7th respondent for the property more fully described in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, based on the petitioner's representation dated



12.01.2026, within a time frame to be stipulated by this Court.

WEB COPY

2. According to the petitioner, the patta for the subject property has been illegally and fraudulently issued in the name of the 7th respondent by deleting the name of the petitioner and his family members. The petitioner submitted a representation dated 12.01.2026 to the respondents 1 and 2 requesting to cancel the said patta. Since the said representation was not considered, the present writ petition has been filed before this Court.

3. Mr.D.Ravichander, learned Special Government Pleader, accepts notice for respondents 1 to 5, Mr.V.Meganathan, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice for 6th respondent and Mr.S.Arivazhagan, learned counsel accepts notice for the 7th respondent.

4. At the outset, the learned Special Government Pleader, appearing for the official respondents submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 12.01.2026 contains reckless allegations against the officials, which includes the Tahsildar and therefore, the same cannot be entertained by this Court through this writ petition.

5. This Court has perused the representation dated 12.01.2026 given by the petitioner, which is the subject matter of the writ petition. If the petitioner



is aggrieved by any alleged misconduct of the officials concerned with regard to the issuance of patta in the name of the 7th respondent, the petitioner must take separate action by following the due procedure established under law. This Court is only dealing with the revenue matters and not with criminal matters. Therefore, the limited relief that can be granted is to direct the official respondents to consider the petitioner's request for cancellation of the patta standing in the name of the 7th respondent, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objection of all the necessary parties, which includes the 7th respondent, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

6. Since the earlier representation given by the petitioner was a comprehensive one, which includes criminal action against the officials concerned, necessarily, the petitioner will have to give a fresh representation to the respondents 1 and 2 restricting the petitioner's prayer for cancellation of the alleged fraudulent patta issued in the name of the 7th respondent and for restoration of the names of the petitioner and other family members.

7. No prejudice would be caused to the respondents, if the petitioner's representation as stated supra is considered by the 2nd respondent on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of the 7th respondent and any other third party, whom the 2nd respondent deems fit to enquire within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.



WEB COPY

8. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation to be submitted by the petitioner.

9. The learned counsel for the 7th respondent submits that the petitioner has suppressed material facts including the pendency of a Civil suit in his representation. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the respective contention.

10. For the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the 2nd respondent seeking for cancellation of patta in the name of the 7th respondent for the property more fully described in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and for restoration of the name of the petitioner and his family members, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such representation, the 2nd respondent shall pass final orders on merits in accordance with law, after hearing the objection of the 7th respondent and any other 3rd party, whom the 2nd respondent deems fit to enquire and after giving due consideration of the supporting documents produced by the petitioner, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a representation. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to initiate appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law, with respect to the alleged misconduct by



officials. This Court is not expressing any opinion on the same. No costs.

WEB COPY

17-03-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RKA



To

1. The District Collector
District collectorate,
Erode- 638 011.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer
RDO Office,
Gobichettipalayam- 638 452.
3. Zonal Deputy Tahsildar
Tmt. Manimegalai,
Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District- 638 452.
4. Village Administrative Officer
Thiru.Ravikumar
Vellankoil village, Gobichettipalayam,
Erode District- 638 452.
5. Sub-Registrar
Thiru.Gokulnath,
Thingalur,
Erode District-638 005.
6. The Inspector of Police
Directorate of Vigilance and Anti- Corruption (DVAC),
Kamala Nagar,Erode- 638 003.



WEB COPY

WP No. 10062 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

RKA

WP No. 10062 of 2026

17-03-2026