



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8951 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 8951 of 2026

K.Mani @ Manikandan S/o.Kumar,
No12/71, Nehru Street, Puthu Nagar,
Medavakkam, Kanchipuram District - 600 100.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State represented by:

The Inspector of Police
Abiramapuram Police Station, Chennai District.
(Crime No.759/2021)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail 336 of 2023 on the file of the Learned XVII Additional Session Judge, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr. R.Rajprabhu
For Respondent(s):	Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar, Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested on execution of non-bailable warrant and remanded to judicial custody on 20.08.2025 for the alleged offences under Section 302 read with 34 and 201 of IPC in Cr. No.759 of 2021 on the file of the respondent police, which was taken on the file of learned XVII Additional Sessions Judge, Chennai in S.C. No.336 of 2023, seeks bail.



2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that non-bailable warrant was ordered against the petitioner on 23.07.2025 and he was arrested on execution of non-bailable warrant on 20.08.2025. It is the further submission of the petitioner that now the trial is in an advanced stage. Apart from that, the learned counsel would submit that the co-accused has been released on bail by this Court vide order passed in Crl. O.P. No.29236 of 2025 dated 028.10.2025 and hence prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

4. At this juncture, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police would strongly objected the bail application stating that 16 witnesses have been examined in this case and the trial is in final stage. He would further submit that the petitioner has 15 previous cases and hence if he released on bail, it would jeopardise the progress of the trial.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the past conduct of the petitioner, upon the fact that the petitioner has 15 previous cases, and as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate. the petitioner cannot seek parity, as the co-accused has no previous cases, and upon the fact that in this case, trial is in advanced stage. Therefore as rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate that if the



petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of delay in trial and hence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at present.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

09-04-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Abiramapuram Police Station, Chennai District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 8951 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 8951 of 2026

09-04-2026