



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6138 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6138 of 2026

B.Siva @ Sivachandran
S/o Balasubamanian,
No.81, Ellai Thottam Road, Peelamedu,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641 004.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by:

The Inspector of Police,
Karamadai Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.493 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail connected with Cr. No.493 of 2025, pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Ms V.Gayathri

For Respondent(s): Mr. S. Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Criminal side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.09.2025 for the alleged offences under Section 303(2) of B.N.S. in Cr. No.493 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The *case of the prosecution* is that the vehicle of the defacto complainant namely Honda Activa 6G Brown colour bearing Registration No.TN43 L 6486, which was parked in front of his house on 19.06.2025, was found missing on 20.06.2025. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that totally 8 cases have been registered against the petitioner on the same day and she would further submit that all the said cases are put up cases and the petitioner is an innocent and he has been incarcerated since 22.09.2025 and the offence charged against the petitioner is under Section 303(2) of B.N.S. and no serious offence and hence prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner as he has 35 previous cases registered against this petitioner and some of them have been disposed of and many of the cases are of similar nature. It is the further submission of the learned Government Advocate that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he would again commit similar offences.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.



6. From the perusal of list of cases pending against the petitioner, shown by the Government Advocate, it is seen that almost all the cases are of similar nature and therefore it clearly demonstrates that whenever this Court enlarged the petitioner on bail, he had misused the liberty. Therefore, this Court is of the firm view that if the petitioner is released on bail, he would again commit similar nature of offence. In view of such position, it is not desirable to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

10-03-2026

MJS

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
2. The Inspector of Police, Karamadai Police Station, Coimbatore District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6138 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MJS

CRL OP No. 6138 of 2026

10-03-2026