



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6780 of 2026

N.Isaimegan

..Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Mappedu Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No.322 of 2025.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioner in Crime No.322 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.M.Jaikumar

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.12.2025 for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b) & 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.322 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is the brother of the defacto complainant. It is stated that he had quarreled with the defacto complainant's wife and the enmity prevailed between them. Hence, on the date of occurrence, the petitioner allegedly attacked her with a knife, stabbed her on the neck, caused grievous injuries and committed murder. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the alleged occurrence took place in the evening at about 06.30 p.m., and that the victim was found with injuries in the neighbor's house. It is further submitted that the petitioner has not committed the alleged offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. He has been in juridical custody since 22.12.2025. The petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore seeks grant of bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 22.12.2025. The allegation against the petitioner is that he murdered his sister-in-law due to a dispute relating to rumours about the paternity of his child. It is further submitted that the offence is grave in nature. However, it is fairly submitted that the investigation has been completed.



5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

WEB COPY

6. From the submission of the learned counsel on either side, this Court is of the view that though the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature, considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.12.2026, the investigation has already been completed and no further custodial interrogation is required, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Tiruvallur**, and subject to the following conditions:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice a day at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30 p.m. for a period of 60 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] on breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions had been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court itself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]***;

[f] if the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR may be registered under Section 269 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

8. It is made clear that no petition seeking relaxation of the above conditions shall be entertained within a period of sixty (60) days from the date of release of the petitioner.

17-03-2026

NSL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Tiruvallur
2. Central Prison, Puzhal.
3. The Inspector of Police, Mappedu Police Station, Tiruvallur District.
4. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6780 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

NSL

CRL OP No. 6780 of 2026

17-03-2026