



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5942 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 5942 of 2026

Udhayakumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
Perundurai Police Station,
Erode District.
Cr.no.13 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Cr.No.13 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.A.Saranraj

For Respondent(s):

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 08.01.2026 for the alleged offence under Section 331(4), 305(a) r/w 111, 317(2) of BNS in Crime No.13 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner along with other accused, trespassed into the house of the de facto complainant and stolen 3 ½ sovereigns of gold. Hence, the case.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is no way connected to this case and has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submits that though the stolen materials have been recovered, the petitioner has sixteen (16) previous cases pending against him. He further submits that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he may abscond and commit similar offences in future as well. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and also perused the records available.

6.From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), though it is stated that the stolen property has been recovered, there are sixteen (16) previous cases against the petitioner, which clearly demonstrates that whenever he was granted bail, he has misused the bail granted to him. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he may be emboldened to indulge in similar offences in future without fear of law. Taking into consideration, the



society at large, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

09-03-2026

rap

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Perundurai Police Station,
Erode District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

3. The Judicial Magistrate, Perundurai.

4. The Sub Jail, Perundurai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5942 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

rap

CRL OP No. 5942 of 2026

09-03-2026