



WEB COPY

CRP No. 1613 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRP No. 1613 of 2026 AND CMP NO. 7580 OF 2026

1. G.Ravikumar

2. N.Thanthoni

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. K.G.Lakshmi

2. P.Ravichandran

3. J.Govindarajulu

4. The Special Tahsildar,
Nagara Nilavari Thittam,
Municipal Office Campus,
Kancheepuram,
Having office Municipality Kancheepuram.

5. The Tahsildar
Kancheepuram Taluk,
Kancheepuram,
Having Office at Taluk office Cmapus,
Kancheepuram.

6. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by District Collector,
Kancheepuram,
having office at Collectorate Campus,
Kancheepuram.

..Respondent(s)

To allow the above CRP by setting aside the order passed in IA No. 137 of 2017 in Ind. OP No.31 of 2015 dated 09.01.2026 by the Principal District Court, Kancheepuram, Kancheepuram District.



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Anbalagan

WEB COPY

Order

This civil revision petition has been filed, challenging the order dated 09.01.2026 passed by the Principal District Court, Kancheepuram in I.A. No.137 of 2017 in Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015.

2.By the aforesaid order, the Trial Court had restored Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015, which was earlier dismissed for default. The Trial Court had taken into consideration the reasons given by the respondents for allowing the application. The Trial Court found that sufficient cause was shown by the respondents for restoration of Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015. In paragraph No.5 of the impugned order, the reasons given by the Trial Court for allowing I.A. No.137 of 2017 in Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015 is re-produced hereunder:

'5.Upon perusal of the materials on record, this court finds as follows. Upon perusal of the records, it is seen that the original restore petition filed by the petitioner/plaintiff was misplaced due to which necessary action was initiated for reconstruction of the lost papers. Thereafter, upon obtaining the no objection from the respondents, the petition in I.A.No.137/2017 was reconstructed. Hence, there is no impediment to pass an order in the application. The present petition is filed to restore the Indigent OP which was dismissed for default. Considering the reasons given by the petitioner/plaintiff, this court finds that one more opportunity can be given to the petitioner/plaintiff to conduct the suit



on merits. It is also relevant to note that the petition was filed within a period of 30 days from the date of dismissal of the Indigent O.P. Hence, considering the reasons given, this court is inclined to allow this petition.'

3. Since sufficient cause has been shown by the respondents, the Trial Court had rightly allowed the application filed by the respondents to restore the Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015 to its file. This Court does not find any infirmity in the impugned order. The petitioners will have to necessarily contest the Ind.OP. No.31 of 2015, on merits before the Trial Court.

4. For the foregoing reasons, this Court does not find any merit in this petition. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. Consequently, connected CMP is closed. No costs.

03-06-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VGA



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

VGA

WEB COPY

To

1. The Special Tahsildar,
Nagara Nilavari Thittam,
Municipal Office Campus,
Kancheepuram,
Having office Municipality Kancheepuram.
2. The Tahsildar
Kancheepuram Taluk,
Kancheepuram,
Having Office at Taluk office Cmapus,
Kancheepuram.
3. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by District Collector,
Kancheepuram,
having office at Collectorate Campus,
Kancheepuram.
4. The Principal District Court, Kancheepuram,
Kancheepuram District
5. The Section Officer, VR Section,
High Court, Madras

**CRP No. 1613 of 2026
AND
CMP NO. 7580 OF 2026**

03-06-2026