



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.6034 of 2026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

DATED : 09.03.2026

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

Crl.O.P.No.6034 of 2026

Mariyammal Singaravel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Annadanapatty Police Station,  
Salem District.  
(Crime No. 550 of 2025)

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.550 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.V.Dhanalakshmi

For Respondent : Mr. P. Dhileepan  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 4(1)(aa) of Tamilnadu Prohibition Act, in Crime No.550 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused was found in illegal possession of 316 brandy bottles, each containing 180 ml. Hence, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the fourth anticipatory bail petitions and the earlier petitions were dismissed on the ground that the petitioner has involved in 36 previous cases. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioner has 36 previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. Though the learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently contended that this is the fourth anticipatory bail application, the very nature of the offence alleged against the petitioner is illegally possessing 100 brandy bottles which is a serious offence against the society at large. It is also seen, that the petitioner has 36 previous cases against him. No doubt, the liberty of the petitioner is very important, but at the same time, the larger interest of the society cannot be ignored. Considering the larger interest of the society, this



Court does not find any merits in entertaining the present application for anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

7. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

**09.03.2026**

DRL

To

1.The Inspector of Police,  
Annadanapatty Police Station,  
Salem District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.6034 of 2026



**C.KUMARAPPAN.J.**

DRL

**Crl.OP.No.6034 of 2026**

**09.03.2026**