



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

C.M.P.Nos.17945, 17946 & 17951 of 2024
in S.A.No.669 of 2015

Chellappa Padayachi
S/o. Govindasamy Padayachi, Melatheru,
Pooveni Kuppam, Chinthamanikuppam,
Cuddalore.

Appellant(s)

Vs

Dharma Pillai (Died) 1. Rajamani
W/o. Dharma Pillai, Ramar Koil St,
Sinthamani Kuppam, Cuddalore.

Respondent(s)

SA No. 669 of 2015

Chellappa Padayachi
S/o Govindasammy Padayachi, Both At
Mela Theru, Poovanikuppam
Chinthamani Kuppam Cuddalore and
another

Appellant(s)

Vs

Dharmar Pillai
S/o Krishnasamy Pillai, Chinthamani
Kuppam, Hamlet Ofpoovanikuppam,
Cuddalore

Respondent(s)

CMP No. 17946 of 2024

Chellappa Padayachi
S/o. Govindasamy Padayachi, Melatheru,
Poovenikuppam, Chinthamanikuppam,
Cuddalore.

Appellant(s)

Vs



Dharma Pillai (Died) 1. Rajamani
W/o. Dharma Pillai, Ramar Koil St,
Sinthamani Kuppam, Cuddalore.

WEB COPY

CMP No. 17951 of 2024

Respondent(s)

Chellappa Padayachi
S/o. Govindasamy Padayachi, Melatheru,
Poovenikuppam, Chinthamanikuppam,
Cuddalore.

Appellant(s)

Vs

Dharma Pillai (Died) 1. Rajamani
W/o. Dharma Pillai, Ramar Koil St,
Sinthamani Kuppam, Cuddalore.

Respondent(s)

CMP No. 17945 of 2024

For Appellant(s): R.Meenal
For Respondent(s): m/s d.baskar
d.jayashree for r1 and 2
R3 - Insufficient Address
Pvt . Notice

Rr 1 And 2 -appearance
R3 - Aos Not Filed

ORDER

C.M.P.No.17945 of 2024 has been filed to condone the delay of 2930 days in seeking to set aside the abatement caused by the death of the sole respondent in the above Second Appeal.

2. C.M.P.No.17946 of 2024 has been filed to set aside the abatement caused by the death of the sole respondent in the above Second Appeal

3. C.M.P.No.17951 of 2024 has been filed to bring on record respondents 1 to 3 herein who are the legal representatives of the deceased sole respondent in the above second appeal as respondents 2 to 4 in the above Second Appeal.



2. Mr.D.Baskar, learned counsel for the first and second respondents would submit that he has no objection in allowing the present applications.

3. Heard both sides.

Considering the averments made in the affidavits filed in support of these Miscellaneous Petitions and also taking into consideration that the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the first and second respondents has no objection in allowing the present petitions and being satisfied with the same, these Miscellaneous Petitions are ordered as prayed for.

Gba

23.01.2025

Note: Registry is directed to carry out necessary amendments to the cause title.