



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 6411 of 2026

Sakthivel

..Petitioner

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police
Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No. 289/2025.

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 289 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondent:

Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 13.01.2026 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 311, 351(3) of BNS 2023 in Crime No.289 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner along with other accused persons allegedly waylaid the defacto



complainant, demanded money from him and when he refused, they abused him in filthy language, assaulted him and extorted a sum of Rs.950/- at knife point.

Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 13.01.2026 and since then he has been in judicial custody. The learned counsel would further submit that the present case is a false and foisted case. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent strongly opposed the bail application on the ground that petitioner has about fifteen previous criminal cases pending against him and would further submit that this is the second bail petition filed by the petitioner and there is no change in circumstances. He would further submit that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of his committing further offences and disturbing public peace. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. From the submission of the learned counsel on either side, this Court is of the view that the petitioner has criminal antecedents and that there is no



change in circumstances from the earlier dismissal of the application, hence,
this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

12-03-2026

sma

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Thirumullaivoyal Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 6411 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

sma

CRL OP No. 6411 of 2026

12-03-2026