



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8812 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.03.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.8812 of 2026

&

W.M.P.No.9480 of 2026

Shankar
S/o. R. Venkatraman
No.07, Swarna Jayanthi Apartments
VC Garden, 3rd Street, Mandaveli
Chennai-28.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Secretary to Government
Department of Civil Supplies Food
and Consumer Protection
Government of Tamil Nadu
Secretariat
Chennai-600 009.

Respondent(s)

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to forthwith pass orders immediately permitting the Presidents and Members of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and the District



W.P.No.8812 of 2026

Consumer Disputes Redressal Commissions, comprised in State of Tamil Nadu to continue in office until the completion of the recruitment process under the new Rules, in compliance with judgment of the Honble Supreme Court in Ganeshkumar Rajeshwarrao Selukar and Ors. vs. Mahendra Bhaskar Limaye and Ors. (2025) 8 SCC 634.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.K.Rajagopal
For M/s.R.Chandra Sudan

For Respondent(s): M/s. Akila Rajendran
Government Advocate

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

By way of public interest litigation, the following relief is sought:

“a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to forthwith pass orders immediately permitting the Presidents and Members of the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commissions, comprised in State of Tamil Nadu to continue in office until the completion of the recruitment process under the new Rules, in compliance with judgment of the Honble Supreme Court in Ganeshkumar Rajeshwarrao Selukar and Ors. vs. Mahendra Bhaskar Limaye and Ors. (2025) 8 SCC 634.”



W.P.No.8812 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. Direction to respondent, as sought by petitioner, to permit the Chairman and Members to continue in the office, cannot be issued at the instance of petitioner.

3. Petition is misconceived and accordingly, it is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the interim application is also dismissed.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
03.03.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

kpl

To

The Secretary to Government
Department of Civil Supplies Food and Consumer Protection
Government of Tamil Nadu Secretariat
Chennai-600 009.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8812 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

W.P.No.8812 of 2026

03.03.2026