



S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

WEB COPY

DATED: 17.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE A.D. MARIA CLETE

S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

S.A. Nos.1148 of 2013

Suguna

...Appellant

Vs.

1. Krishnaraji

2. Asokan

3. Sugumaran

4. Sekar

5. Seenuvasan

6. Siyamala

7. Viruthambal

8. Radhakrishnan

...Respondents

R7 and R8 were exparte in the Courts below and hence notice may be dispensed with.

PRAYER: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure against the judgment and decree of the learned II Additional District Judge, Tindivanam in A.S.No.12 of 2012 dated 19.03.2013 confirming the judgment and decree of the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Tindivanam in O.S.No.124 of 2008 dated 08.08.2011.



S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

For Appellant : No appearance.

For Respondents : Mr.R.Thiagarajan for R2 to R6

S.A. Nos.1149 of 2013

1. Suguna
2. Devarajalu

... Appellants

Vs.

1. Krishnaraji
2. Asokan
3. Sugumaran
4. Sekar
5. Seenuvasan
6. Ranganathan
7. Janarthanan

...Respondents

R6 and R7 were exparte in the Courts below and hence notice may be dispensed with.

PRAYER: Second Appeal filed under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure against the judgment and decree of the learned II Additional District Judge, Tindivanam in A.S.No.13 of 2012 dated 19.03.2013 confirming the judgment and decree of the learned Principal Subordinate Judge, Tindivanam in O.S.No.80 of 2008 dated 08.08.2011.

For Appellant : No appearance.

For Respondents : No appearance for R2 to R5



S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

COMMON JUDGMENT

WEB COPY

When these matters were listed on 09.02.2026, the learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the matter had already been settled between the parties and sought time to get instructions, hence Registry was directed to list the matter under the caption "for withdrawal" on 12.02.2026. Again when the matter came up for hearing on 12.02.2026, the learned counsel for the appellants reported no instructions and hence again the Registry was directed to print the name of the appellants and list the matter on 17.02.2026 under the caption "for appearance of the appellants". When the matter came up for hearing today i.e., 17.02.2026 there is no representation for the appellants.

2.Hence, these appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

17.02.2026

dpq

Index: Yes / No

Speaking Order / Non-speaking Order



S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

To

I The II Additional District Judge

Tindivanam

2. The learned Principle

Subordinate Judge, Tindivanam

3.The Section Officer,
V.R.Section,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY



S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

DR. A.D. MARIA CLETE, J

dpq

S.A. Nos.1148 & 1149 of 2013

17.02.2026