



W.P.No.9159 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.03.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.9159 of 2026

S.Gokul
Old No.221/9, New No 268/2,
Sri Varisaashwat,
Race Course Road,
Coimbatore South,
Coimbatore - 641 018.

Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The Greater Chennai Corporation
Rep. by its Commissioner,
Ripon Building, Chennai - 600 003.
- 2.The Assistant Commissioner (GA & P)
General Department,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Ripon Building, Chennai - 600 003.
- 3.The Zonal Officer
Zone 9, Greater Chennai Corporation,
No 4, 4th Cross Street,
Lake View Road, Nungambakam,
Chennai - 600 034.



W.P.No.9159 of 2026

4.The Special Officer
Mudhalvarin Mugavari Department,
Secretairat, Chennai - 600 009.

5.B.Usha
W/o.Balasundraram,
No 1182, TNHB Colony, 97th Lane,
Velachery, Chennai - 600 042.

Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondents to conduct immediate inspection of the 3rd respondent's property and to initiate enforcement action, including stop-work, lock and seal, and demolish of construction raised in violation of FSI and setback norms with further directions to the respondents to complete such action within a time-bound period.

For Petitioner: Mr.A.Gokula Krishna
(through Video-Conferencing)

For Respondents: Mr.D.B.R.Prabhu
Standing Counsel
for R1 to R3

Ms.Akia Rajendran
Government Advocate
for R4

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the fifth respondent, who is the owner of the adjacent property, is putting up construction in gross violation of the sanctioned building plan and



W.P.No.9159 of 2026

the Tamil Nadu Combined Development and Building Rules. In this regard, even though the petitioner has already submitted a representation on 12.9.2025 to the first respondent/Commissioner, Greater Chennai Corporation, till date the respondent authorities have not taken any action.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent/Corporation fairly submitted that, in case the representation of the petitioner has not been decided, the same shall be decided in accordance with law by giving an opportunity to all the parties concerned within four weeks.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for respondent/Corporation, we direct respondent Nos.1 to 3 to decide the representation within a period of four weeks and pass a reasoned and speaking order after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned.

4. Writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions. There shall be no order as to costs.



W.P.No.9159 of 2026

WEB COPY

We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
10.03.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
sasi

To:

- 1.The Commissioner,
Greater Chennai Corporation
Ripon Building, Chennai 600 003
- 2.The Assistant Commissioner
(GA & P)
General Department,
Greater Chennai Corporation,
Ripon Building, Chennai 600 003
- 3.The Zonal Officer
Zone 9, Greater Chennai Corporation,
No 4, 4th Cross Street,
Lake View Road, Nungambakam,
Chennai 600 034
- 4.The Special Officer
Mudhalvarin Mugavari Department,
Secretariat, Chennai 600 009



WEB COPY



W.P.No.9159 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(sasi)

W.P.No.9159 of 2026

10.03.2026