



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 520 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 03-03-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL RC No. 520 of 2026**

C.A.Deepa

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep. by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Mamallapuram All Women Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District.  
Cr.No.20/2025.

..Respondent

**Prayer:** This Criminal Revision petition is filed under Section 438 r/w. 442 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to call for the records relating to the order dated 09.01.2026 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Chengalpattu in CrI.M.P.No.456 of 2025 and set aside the same.

For Petitioner:

Mr.G.Magesh Kumar

For Respondent:

Mr.R.Kishore Kumar  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

This Criminal Revision case is filed to set aside the order of the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Chengalpattu in CrI.M.P.No.456 of 2025 dated 09.01.2026.

2. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner has filed the present application to return the Hyundai Eon area bearing Registration No. TN-09-



CP-3047, which was seized by the respondent police in connection with the Crime No.20 of 2025.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that though the vehicle stands in the name of one *Velmurugan*, who is the brother-in-law of the petitioner, the same was gifted to the petitioner and the application has been filed based on the T.O. Form and agreement.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that the application has not been filed by the owner of the vehicle and the T.O. Form produced is unfilled. In the absence of the owner, the vehicle cannot be returned to a third party.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Considering the above facts, this Court is of the view that as rightly observed by the learned Sessions Judge, the application has not been filed by the registered owner of the vehicle. The T.O. Form is unfilled and though some document is produced stated it to be a gift deed, in the absence of the registered owner, the vehicle cannot be returned to a third party. This Court does not find any infirmity in the order passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Special Court



for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Chengalpattu in  
Crl.M.P.No.456 of 2025 dated 09.01.2026.

WEB COPY

7. In the result, the Criminal Revision Case stands dismissed.

**03-03-2026**

Index: Yes

Speaking

Neutral Citation: Yes

NSL

To

1. The Inspector of Police,  
Mamallapuram All Women Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District.

2. The Sessions Judge,  
Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act,  
Chengalpattu.

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL RC No. 520 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**NSL**

**CRL RC No. 520 of 2026**

**03-03-2026**