



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5887 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 5887 of 2026

Raj Kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by Inspector of Police
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No. 808/2025.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This criminal original petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police pending investigation in Crime No.808 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.V.Vijaya Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(B), 25, 29(1) of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (in short 'the Act') in Crime No.808 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner and other



accused were involved in illegal possession of ganja containing 3 Kgs 200 grams. Hence, the case.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the quantity allegedly recovered in this case is only 3 Kgs 200 grams., which does not fall under the category of commercial quantity. Hence, he prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the Ganja recovered is 3 Kgs 200 grams and that there are previous cases registered against the petitioner. Hence, he prayed to dismiss the present criminal original petition.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Though it is true that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner, the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate cannot be lightly brushed aside. The nature of the offence alleged is grave and has a serious impact on society at large. Hence, granting anticipatory bail in cases involving



narcotic substances, particularly when there are previous cases against the petitioner, may send a wrong message to society. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the present anticipatory bail application. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is dismissed.

12-03-2026

rap



To

WEB COPY

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvottiyur.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
3. The Inspector of Police
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5887 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

rap

CRL OP No. 5887 of 2026

12-03-2026