



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 8209 of 2026 AND WMP NO. 8902 OF 2026

Bagyalakshmi Narayanappa

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. the Revenue Divisional officer
Hosur Division, Krishnagiri district

2.The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar
Soolgiri Taluk, Krishnagiri district

3.The Village Administrative officer
Bukkasagaram (A) ,Soolgiri Taluk,
Krishnagiri district

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

calling for the records relating to the order of rejection passed by the respondent Zonal Deputy Tahsildar, Soolgiri Taluk, in patta transfer Application No. 2026 /0103/ 31/ 001119 dated 8.01.2026 quash the same and consequently direct the respondent No.2 to effect patta Transfer in respect of Survey Nos. 165/1, 165/3 and 165/4 situated at Bukkasagaram (A) Village, Soolgiri Taluk, Krishnagiri district based on the petitioners Application No. 2026/ 0103/ 31/ 001119 dated 8.01.2026 in accordance with law and in recognition of the Doctrine of priority as contemplated under Section 48 of the Transfer of property Act, 1882.



For Petitioner(s): Mr.E.Brittovalan for
M/S.LAW SHINE LLP

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Arumugam
Govt Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 08.01.2026 passed by the second respondent, rejecting the patta transfer application, submitted by the petitioner for the property, morefully disclosed in the prayer to this writ petition.

2.The petitioner has challenged the impugned order on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice and on the ground that it is a non-speaking order.

3.Mr.S.Arumugam, learned Government Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

4.As seen from the impugned order dated 08.01.2026 passed by the second respondent, it is a non-speaking order. No reasons have been given by the second respondent for rejecting the petitioner's patta transfer application. In the column 'reason for rejection', it has just been stated as 'rejected'. The petitioner was also not afforded an opportunity of hearing in the impugned



proceedings. The documents produced by the petitioner have also not been considered by the second respondent.

WEB COPY

5. Being a non-speaking order and an order passed in a violation of principles of natural justice, the impugned order dated 08.01.2026 is quashed and the matter is remanded back to the second respondent for fresh consideration, on merits and in accordance with law. The petitioner is directed to submit a written explanation along with the supporting documents in support of the patta transfer application to the second respondent, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said written explanation along with the supporting documents within the stipulated time, the second respondent shall pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after giving due consideration to the same as well as the supporting documents, within a period of 12 weeks thereafter. Consequently, connected WMPs are closed. No costs.

05-03-2026

vga



To

1.the Revenue Divisional officer
Hosur Division, Krishnagiri district

2.The Zonal Deputy Tahsildar
Soolgiri Taluk, Krishnagiri district

3.The Village Administrative officer
Bukkasagaram (A) ,Soolgiri Taluk,
Krishnagiri district



WEB COPY

WP No. 8209 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

**WP No. 8209 of 2026
AND WMP NO. 8902
OF 2026**

05-03-2026