



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.5166 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 5166 of 2026

G.Kumaran
S/o Gangatharan,
SA, Patel Street,
Bhuvaneshwaripetrai,
Gudiyatham Taluk,
Vellore District.

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Bagayam Police Station,
Vellore District.
In Crime No.24 /2026

...Respondent/
Complainant

Prayer : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.24 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent, Bagayam Police Station, Vellore District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Rajasekar M

For Respondent: Mr.P.Dhileepan
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offences **under Sections 5, 7(3) of the Lotteries Regulation Act, 1998 and 318(4) of BNS in Crime No.24 of 2026**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with other accused, were involved in the illegal selling of government-banned lottery tickets, including Kerala lottery tickets. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submitted that the co-accused in this case granted anticipatory bail by this Court on 06.03.2026 in CrI.O.P.No.5164 of 2026. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions,



submitted that four previous cases have been registered against the petitioner.

Hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent.

6. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side), the petitioner has got four previous cases. Considering the nature of the allegation against this petitioner and considering his past conduct, this Court is of the firm view that, as and when the petitioner was enlarged on bail, he subverted the justice and misused the liberty. Hence, he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

dk

26-03-2026



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Vellore.

WEB COPY

2. The Inspector of Police,
Bagayam Police Station,
Vellore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.5166 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

dk

CRL OP No. 5166 of 2026

26-03-2026