



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 5885 of 2026

Ranjith Kumar

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Chettipalayam Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.384 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.384 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Chettipalayam Police Station, Coimbatore District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Shanmugarajeswaran

For Respondent(s): Mr.P.Dhileepan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS Act r/w. Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment Act in Crime No.384 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that there was a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the de facto complainant, due to which the petitioner assaulted the de facto complainant. Hence, the present complaint.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that due to a matrimonial dispute the present complaint has been given by the de facto complainant. However, by efflux of time, the petitioner and the de facto complainant have compromised the issue and are living together.

4. The de facto complainant has also filed an affidavit stating that the parties have compromised the issue and has also appeared before this Court through video conferencing.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) has not made any objections to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the welfare of the de facto complainant and the new born baby, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date



on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madukkarai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(d) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

RAP

12-03-2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5885 of .



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

rap

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Madukkarai

2. The Inspector of Police,
Chettipalayam Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 5885 of 2026

12-03-2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 5885 of

