



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8988 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.03.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.8988 of 2026

and W.M.P.Nos.9701 and 9704 of 2026

Honey Dew Resorts
Rep. by its Managing Director,
S.A.Muthu Kumaran,
Germalam, Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.

Petitioner

Vs

- 1.The District Collector
Erode District, Erode.
- 2.The Deputy Director
District Town and Country
Planning Office,
Erode District.
- 3.The Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.
- 4.The Block Development Officer
Thalavadi Panchayat Union,
Thalavadi Taluk, Erode District.



W.P.No.8988 of 2026

5.The District Forest Officer
Erode District, Erode.

WEB COPY

Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari to call for the records relating to the impugned orders dated 26.12.2025 and 02.02.2026 issued by the 4th respondent made in Na.Ka.No.705/2025/Aa 2, dated 26.12.2025 and to quash the same.

For Petitioner: Mr.C.Prabakaran
for Mr.R.Parthiban

For Respondents: Mr.M.Habeeb Rahman
Government Advocate

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard on admission.

2. The notice issued on 2nd February, 2026 has given the petitioner cause of action to file this petition.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, notice is issued without jurisdiction, as the officer does not have competence to issue such notice. He would further submit that as far as the present petitioner is concerned, it has submitted various documents in support



W.P.No.8988 of 2026

of its claim that it has developed the resort and is operating it with all valid permissions and there is no illegality or violation of any direction.

4. It appears that in compliance with the directions issued by this Court for taking action against the illegal resorts operating in and around the tiger reserve area, notices have been issued to all, including the petitioner.

5. We are of the view that, at this stage, it is not necessary for this Court to entertain the petition. However, if, in future, further action is taken against the petitioner by issuing any lock and seal notice, the petitioner will have cause of action to challenge the same in appropriately constituted proceedings.

6. The writ petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
10.03.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
bbr



W.P.No.8988 of 2026

To:

- 1.The District Collector
Erode District, Erode.
- 2.The Deputy Director
District Town and Country
Planning Office,
Erode District.
- 3.The Tahsildar
Sathyamangalam Taluk,
Erode District.
- 4.The Block Development Officer
Thalavadi Panchayat Union,
Thalavadi Taluk, Erode District.
- 5.The District Forest Officer
Erode District, Erode.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8988 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

bbr

W.P.No.8988 of 2026

10.03.2026