



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No. 5219 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP NO. 5219 of 2026

Naveen Kumar

Petitioner/A4

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police
D6 Police Station,
Podhaturpet,
Tiruvallur District,
Tamil Nadu – 631 208.
(Crime No.203 of 2025)

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 480 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in Cr.No. 203 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Shaikh Mehrunisa

For Respondent : Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar

Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.12.2025 for the alleged offences under Section 194 BNSS and Section 103(1), 238 BNS r.w. Section 61(2) BNS Act, in Crime No.203 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the complaint was given on 22.10.2025 by one insurance company, who suspected the death of deceased, aged about 56 years residing at No.49, Bharathi Nagar, Podhathurpet, after which a case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been in custody for more than 73 days since 20.12.2025. He further submitted that there is no previous case against the petitioner. Hence, he submitted that this is a fit case in which the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the investigation is completed and there is no previous case against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.



6. According to the prosecution, A1 and A2 are the prime accused and whereas the specific overtact against this petitioner / A4 is that he took A1 and A2 to the place of occurrence along with the snake and apart from that there are no specific overtact against this accused. From the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate, A6 already been granted bail. In the case on hand, the petitioner had been incarceration for more than 74 days and investigation is almost in the conclusion stage. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with stringent conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Principal & Sessions Judge, Thiruvallur**, and subject to the following conditions:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their



identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police twice a day at 10.30 a.m. and 05.30 p.m. for a period one month and thereafter appear before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m. for a further period of 15 days and as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] on breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions had been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court itself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]***;

[f] if the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR may be registered under Section 269 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

03.03.2026

mtl

4/6



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 5219 of 2025

To

1.The Principal & Sessions Judge, Thiruvallur.

2.The Inspector of Police
D6 Police Station, Podhaturpet,
Tiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu – 631 208.
(Crime No.203 of 2025)

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison – II,
Puzhal, Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No. 5219 of 2026

C.KUMARAPPAN

mtl

Crl.O.P.No. 5219 of 2026

03.03.2026