



Rev.Aplw.No.74 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.03.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

Review Application No.74 of 2026

B&G Hydro Private Limited,
Represented by its Authorized Signatory,
Having registered office at:
New No.25, Old No.10,
Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam,
Chennai - 600 034.

.. Review Applicant(s)

Vs

1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its Secretary,
Energy Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited,
144, Anna Salai,
N.P.K.R.R. Maaligai, Chennai - 600 002.

3.PPN Power Generating Company Private Limited,
No.1-A, Jhaver Plaza, Third Floor,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai - 600 034.

.. Respondent(s)



Rev.Aplw.No.74 of 2026

PRAYER: Petition filed under Order 47 Rule 1 and 2 r/w Section 114 C.P.C. to review the order dated 19.01.2026 passed by this court in W.A.No.61 of 2026.

For Applicant(s): Mr.Abishek Jenasenan

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.Habeeb Rahman
Government Advocate
For R1

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by G.ARUL MURUGAN, J.)

Heard Mr.Abishek Jenasenan, learned counsel for the review applicant and Mr.M.Habeeb Rahman, learned Government Advocate for the first respondent.

2. This review application is filed seeking to review the order of this Court dated 19.01.2026 made in W.A.No.61 of 2026.

3. Mr.Abishek Jenasenan, learned counsel for the review applicant by referring to paragraph 5 of the order submitted that, even though he has not sought to revise the bid, but however, in the order it has been recorded as though he has made such a contention.



WEB COPY

4. In paragraph 5 of the order, it was observed thus;

"5. The appellant's prayer at this stage that the bid which he has offered should be allowed to be revised, cannot be allowed by this Court."

5. This Court on hearing the appeal, found that already in the tender the applicant has made a bid. In the case of availability of more than one party, the procedure contemplated to award the contract is only through tender. As such, the tender process has been adopted.

6. This Court by concluding that merely because one party had opted out in the tender, the argument of the learned counsel that the appellant be allowed to enter into a contract based on the minimum criteria fixed, ignoring the bid, would only amount to resiling/revising from the bid submitted by him, therefore had made the observations that the same cannot be allowed.



Rev.Aplw.No.74 of 2026

7. In such circumstances, there is no error apparent which is

to be reviewed.

8. Accordingly, the Review Application stands dismissed.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN, J)
16.03.2026

Index : Yes/No

Neutral Citation : Yes/No

sri



Rev.Aplw.No.74 of 2026

To:

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu,
Represented by its Secretary,
Energy Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.Tamil Nadu Green Energy Corporation Limited,
144, Anna Salai,
N.P.K.R.R. Maaligai, Chennai - 600 002.
- 3.PPN Power Generating Company Private Limited,
No.1-A, Jhaver Plaza, Third Floor,
Nungambakkam High Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai - 600 034.



WEB COPY



Rev.Aplw.No.74 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

sri

Review Application No.74 of 2026

16.03.2026