



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4418 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 4418 of 2026

Mr.D.Victor Paulraj @ Vicky, M/A-30 years,
S/o. Thulukkanam
No.158,Stalin Street,
Thiruporur,
VTC Mullipakkam ,
Chengalpattu - 603105.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
E-1 Mamallapuram Police station,
Chengalpattu.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the Respondent Police in Crime No.18/2026 on the file of the Respondent Police, E-1, Mamallapuram Police Station, Chengalpattu district.

For Petitioner(s):

M/s. G. Peramaiyan

For Respondent(s):

M/S.J.R.Archana

Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2),



118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.18 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that when a wrong call was made to the petitioner herein, the petitioner quarrelled with the defacto complainant and threatened him with dire consequences, and further he came to the house of the de facto complainant, trespassed, and attacked him with a knife, caused severe cut injuries and also, after the victim was admitted to hospital, a complaint was lodged, and the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the victim was discharged from the hospital, and though there is 1 previous case reported, it is only an offence under Section 506(i) of IPC, and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that totally two persons were injured in this case, and one person is still taking treatment, and the investigation in this case is pending, and the petitioner is also having one previous case. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Considering the nature of the allegation and the manner in which the occurrence had taken place, and the fact that one of the injured is still in hospital, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

23-02-2026

MPA

To

1. The State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
E-1 Mamallapuram Police station,
Chengalpattu.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4418 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MPA

CRL OP No. 4418 of 2026

23-02-2026