



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL.O.P.No.5480 of 2024
and Crl.M.P.No.3999 of 2024
and Cont.P.No.3331 of 2024
and Sub.Appl.No.1051 of 2024

Crl.O.P.No.5480 of 2024:

1. Jayalakshmi,
D/o.Ravi,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,
Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.
2. Selvamani,
W/o.Sundaramoorthy,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,
Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tamil Nadu.
2. Saveetha,
No.116, Mullipet Road,
Saidapet,
Arni - 632 301.

..Respondent(s)

Cont.P.No.3331 of 2024:

1. Jayalakshmi,
D/o.Ravi,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,



Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.

2. Selvamani,
W/o.Sundaramoorthy,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,
Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Tmt. Prabhavathi,
Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Arni,
Tiruvannamalai District,
Tamil Nadu.

..Contemnor(s)

Sub.Appl. No.1051 of 2024:

1. Jayalakshmi,
D/o.Ravi,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,
Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.
2. Selvamani,
W/o.Sundaramoorthy,
No.35, Sundari Avenue,
Madam Street,
Kancheepuram.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Tmt. Prabhavathi,
Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Arni, Tiruvannamalai District,
Tamil Nadu.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer in Cont.P.No.3331 of 2024: Contempt Petition is filed under Section
11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondent for committing



contempt of court by willfully disobeying the order passed in Crl.O.P.No. 5480 of 2024 dated 06.03.2024.

Prayer in Sub.Appl.No.1051 of 2025: Sub Application is filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure to grant an Interim Order of Stay of proceedings in C.C.No. 60 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arni, Tiuvannamalai District.

Prayer in Crl.O.P.No. 5480 of 2024: Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to call for the records and quash the First Information Report in Crime No.13 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police as the same in an abuse of process of law.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ali Hassan Khan
in all cases

For Respondent(s): Mr.K.M.D. Muhilan,
Additional Public Prosecutor
for R1 in all cases

Ms.Ramya Bharathi
for Ms.B.Rathika
for R2
in Crl.O.P.No.5480 of 2024

COMMON ORDER

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/State submitted that the accused had filed Crl.O.P.No.5480 of 2024 seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.13 of 2023 on the file of the 1st respondent police, in which this Court, by an interim order dated 06.03.2024, directed the respondent police not to file the final report. On the very same day, the *de facto* complainant also had filed Crl.O.P.No.5361 of 2024 seeking a direction to complete the investigation



and to file the final report and this Court directed the respondent to complete the investigation and to file final report. Thus, two different orders have been passed by this Court in respect of the same case. Subsequently, when the matters were directed to be listed under the caption “for being mentioned”, this Court, by order dated 18.03.2024, directed the respondent police to keep the final report in abeyance. While so, without proper communication, the respondent had filed the final report before the jurisdictional Court and it was taken on file in C.C.No.60 of 2024 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate Court, Arni.

2.While so, the petitioners have entered into a compromise, pursuant to which, two persons, viz., Poonguzhali and Sampath have filed CrI.O.P.Nos.25320 of 2025 and one Prabhu and the petitioners have filed CrI.O.P.No.31775 of 2025 seeking to quash the entire proceedings in C.C.No.60 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arni, and this Court by order dated 25.01.2025, has quashed the entire proceedings and hence, nothing survives for further adjudication in these matters.

3.Ms.Ramya Bharathi, learned counsel appearing for the 2nd respondent in CrI.O.P.No.5480 of 2024 admitted that all these matters have been compromised between the parties, pursuant to which, the entire proceedings had been quashed by this Court.



4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5. Due to certain miscommunication, the respondent has filed the final report, in spite of this Court directing the final report to be kept in abeyance. Hence, Contempt Petition No.3331 of 2024 has been filed. Since this Court has now come to know that by order dated 25.01.2025 in Crl.O.P.Nos.25320 of 2025 and Crl.O.P.No.31775 of 2025, the entire proceedings in C.C.No.60 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Arni, have been quashed, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this Contempt Petition pending. Hence, this Contempt Petition is closed. Further, in view of subsequent development, Crl.O.P.No.5480 of 2024 stands dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

06-02-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
MPS



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 3331 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.

MPS

CRL.O.P.No.5480 of 2024
and Crl.M.P.No.3999 of 2024
and Cont.P.No.3331 of 2024
and Sub.Appl.No.1051 of 2024

06-02-2026