



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-03-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 7543 of 2026

Vinayaga Apartment owners
Association
Represented by its president
C.Ramanujam

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District collector,
Chengalpattu 603 301.

2.The Tashildar,
Office of the Tashildar, Pallavaram,
Chennai 600 044.

3.Raju Ganapathy

4.Achammal

5.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Tambaram, Chennai.

(R5 suo motu impleaded by this Court
vide order dated 02.03.2026)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Directing the 2nd Respondent to cancel the patta under Urban Planning Scheme bearing R.PD / 8A No.294 /1419 dated .../09/2010, issued by the 2nd Respondent in favour of the 4th Respondent and consider the petitioners Representation dated 17/12/2025 on merits and in accordance with law.



For Petitioner: Mr.S.Vijaya Kumar
For Respondents: Mr.D.Ravichander,
Special Government Pleader
for R1, 2 and 5
Notice dispensed with
for R3 and R4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for cancellation of patta, issued by the second respondent for the property, morefully disclosed in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition in favour of the fourth respondent, based on the petitioner's representation dated 17.12.2025, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2.The competent authority to cancel pattas is the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tambaram, Chennai and not the second respondent as prayed for in this writ petition. Since the competent authority to adjudicate the petitioner's representation seeking for cancellation of patta standing in the name of the fourth respondent is the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tambaram, Chennai, this Court, by exercising its suo motu powers, impleads the Revenue Divisional Officer, Tambaram, Chennai as the party fifth respondent in this writ petition. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary amendment.



3.Mr.D.Ravichander, learned Special Government Pleader accepts notice on behalf of respondents 1, 2 and 5. Since no adverse order is being passed against respondents 3 and 4, notice to respondents 3 and 4 is dispensed with by this Court.

4.Learned counsel for the petitioner would now submit that the petitioner is willing to submit a fresh representation to the newly impleaded fifth respondent seeking for cancellation of patta standing in the name of the fourth respondent for the property, morefully disclosed in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

5.No prejudice would be caused to the official respondents if the petitioner's representation, as stated supra, is considered, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of respondents 3 and 4 and any other third party whom the fifth respondent deems it fit to enquire, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

6.This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's representation.

7.For the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the petitioner to submit a fresh representation to the newly impleaded fifth



respondent seeking for cancellation of patta standing in the name of the fourth respondent for the property, morefully disclosed in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said representation within the stipulated time, the fifth respondent shall pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of respondents 3 and 4 and any other third party whom the fifth respondent deems fit to enquire, within a period of 12 weeks thereafter. No costs.

02-03-2026

vga



WP No. 7543 of 2026



To

1. The District Collector,
Office of the District collector,
Chengalpattu 603 301.
2. The Tashildar,
Office of the Tashildar, Pallavaram,
Chennai 600 044.



WEB COPY

WP No. 7543 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

WP No. 7543 of 2026

02-03-2026