



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.03.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

W.P.No.7365 of 2026

and

W.M.P.No.7914 & 7917 of 2026

Senthil Kumar Vijay Narayanan
Sole Proprietor of Tvl. Senthilkumar Tex
Aged 28 years,
39, Nadu Street, Idappadi,
Salem – 637101.

Petitioner

Vs

The Deputy Commercial Tax officer
Edappady Assessment Circle,
S.M.V.T. Nagar, Vellandivalasu,
Edappady-637 105.

Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records in Reference No. ZD330925293257Y dated 23.09.2025 u/s.73 of the TNGST Act, 2017 along with a summary of the order dated 23.09.2025 in Reference No. ZD330925293257Y on the file of the Respondent relating to F.Y.2021-22 and quash the same.

For Petitioner:	Ms.K.Sri Chandana
For Respondent:	Mrs.K.Vasanthamala, Government Advocate.

ORDER

Ms.K.Vasanthamala, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.



2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 23.09.2025, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 06.06.2025 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 23.09.2025.

4. The Petitioner was also issued with Reminders on 21.07.2025, 09.08.2025 and 22.08.2025, which called upon the Petitioner to file a reply and to appear for a personal hearing. The Petitioner however neither filed any reply nor appeared for the personal hearing fixed on 31.07.2025, 13.08.2025 & 29.08.2025. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.

5. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 16.02.2026.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 25% of the disputed tax as a condition for



denovo adjudication.

WEB COPY

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an following endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle which has been extracted hereunder:-

“The petitioner is ready and willing to pay 25% of the tax liability for de nova adjudication.”

8. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

9. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

10. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 06.06.2025 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated



23.09.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 06.06.2025.

WEB COPY

11. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner if any, shall also stand automatically vacated.

12. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.



15. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations. No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

WEB COPY

02.03.2026

kmm

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

The Deputy Commercial Tax officer
Edappady Assessment Circle, S.M.V.T.
Nagar, Vellandivalasu,
Edappady-637 105



WEB COPY



C.SARAVANAN J.

kmm

**W.P.No.7365 of 2026
and
W.M.P.No.7914 & 7917 of 2026**

02.03.2026