



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.03.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN**

W.P.No.8125 of 2026

and

W.M.P.Nos.8797 & 8800 of 2026

Krishnasamy Mohan,  
A Proprietorship Firm represented by its,  
(L) Proprietor's legal heir, M.Rajaprabhu,  
29/15B, 2, Railway Colony 2<sup>nd</sup> Street,  
N.M. Road, Aminjikarai, Chennai,  
Tamil Nadu – 600 129.

...Petitioner

Vs.

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer,  
MMDA Colony, Central – I,  
Chennai Central, Tamil Nadu.

...Respondent

**PRAYER:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records of Order of Assessment in DRC-07 bearing Reference No.ZD3309240346682 in GSTIN/ID:33AAKPM0468N1ZD/APR 2020 - MAR 2021 dated 05.09.2024 passed by the respondent and to quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Ganesh Kanna

For Respondent : Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik,  
Additional Government Pleader



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

WEB COPY

### **ORDER**

Mr.T.N.C.Kaushik, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Additional Government Pleader for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 05.09.2024, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 04.06.2024 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 05.09.2024.

4. The Petitioner was also issued with Reminders on 01.07.2024, 15.07.2024 and 07.08.2024, which called upon the Petitioner to file a reply and to appear for a personal hearing. The Petitioner however neither filed



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

any reply nor appeared for the personal hearing fixed on 05.07.2024, 16.07.2024 and 13.08.2024. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.


5. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 25.02.2026.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 25% of the disputed tax as a condition for denovo adjudication.

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle, which is extracted hereunder:

*“Agree to pay 25% of Tax.”*

8. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

9. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

10. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 04.06.2024 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 05.09.2024 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 04.06.2024.

11. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner if any, shall also stand automatically vacated.

12. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed in limine today.

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

WEB COPY

15. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.

No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

**04.03.2026**

kmm

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To:

Deputy Commercial Tax Officer,  
MMDA Colony, Central – I,  
Chennai Central, Tamil Nadu.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.8125 of 2026

**C.SARAVANAN J.**

kmm

W.P.No.8125 of 2026  
and  
W.M.P.Nos.8797 & 8800 of 2026

04.03.2026