



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

REV.APPL No. 61 of 2021

and

C.M.P.Nos.6321 & 6322 of 2021

V.Kamatchi
No.46, Perumal Kovil Street, Chithambakkam
Village, Mannavedu Post, Tiruvallur-602003

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Secretary To Government
P And Ar Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009
2. The Secretary To Government
Rd And Pr Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009
3. The Joint Secretary To Government
Rural Development And Panchayat Raj
Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009

..Respondent(s)

REV.APPL No. 61 of 2021

To review the judgment dt. 04.02.2021 passed in WA
No.3091/2019 on the file of this Honble Court

REV.APPL No. 61 of 2021

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.Richardson Wilson for M/s. P.Wilson Associates
For Respondent(s):	Mr.U.M.Ravichandran, Spl.Govt.Pleader for R1 to R3



Order

(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

WEB COPY

The review petitioner herein preferred a writ petition seeking a direction to the Government to take into account, the period of maternity leave availed by her as service for promotion to the post of Assistant Section Officer by including her name in the panel year 2009-2010 and fix the seniority in the appropriate place at 50A of the seniority list released on 11.11.2016.

2. The Division Bench of this Court in the intra-Court appeal filed by the Secretary to Government, P & AR Department, elaborately considered, including the number of days of leave availed by the review petitioner. When the leave period was taken into consideration and the consequences of long leave during the probation period was also taken into consideration by the Division Bench, there is no scope of entertaining the review petition on those factual ground as raised by the review petitioner herein.

3. In paragraph No.13 of the judgment sought to be reviewed, the Division Bench has considered the fact regarding the availing of long leave by the review petitioner during the probation period and that being the factum, re-adjudication on merits in the present review petition is inadmissible.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner made an attempt to raise grounds on merits which would fact beyond the scope of review petition and that being



the factum, the present review petition is devoid of merits and the petitioner has not established any error apparent on the face of record. Consequently,

Review Application stands dismissed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)
24-02-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VSI

Note:

Registry is directed to list the connected writ petition before the appropriate Court concerned.

To

1. The Secretary To Government
P And Ar Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009
2. The Secretary To Government
Rd And Pr Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009
3. The Joint Secretary To Government
Rural Development And Panchayat Raj
Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-600009



WEB COPY

REV.APPL No. 61 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN J.**

VSI

REV.APPL No. 61 of 2021

24-02-2026