



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4297 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 4297 of 2026

P.Yokesh

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by its The Sub Inspector of Police
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.621 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, to enlarge the Petitioner on bail Crime No.621/2025 on the respondent police and pass such or others orders may deem fit and proper pending and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Jayachandran

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.02.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 194 of BNSS 2023, and subsequently altered to 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 115(2), 103(1) of BNS, in Crime No.621 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is ranked as A4 in this case. It is alleged that on the date of occurrence, the deceased visited the petitioner's village, scolded some of the villagers, and the same was questioned by the petitioner and others. Even thereafter, the deceased abused the petitioner and others due to which, the petitioner joined hands with other accused have attacked the deceased with wooden logs, stones and hands. Originally, the case was registered as suspicious death, subsequently, the investigation revealed that the petitioner joined hands with other accused i.e, totally 9 accused jointly attacked the deceased. Hence, a case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the alteration report, it is alleged that three other persons attacked the deceased in this case and however the petitioner's name was not found in the alteration report. Subsequently, based on statement recorded from the other accused, it is stated that the petitioner has also attacked the deceased with hands and that it is a false accusation and in fact the petitioner helped the deceased to go away from there and ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that totally nine accused in this case and that the petitioner is ranked



as A4. The learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner also attacked the deceased with hands and caused injuries. He also submitted that the co-accused/A6 has already been granted bail by this Court in Crl Op No.1559 of 2026 dated 28.01.2026. Hence, she opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the manner in which the occurrence has taken place and more particularly, the overt act against the petitioner is that, the petitioner attacked the deceased with hands and since the overt act is very limited and major part of the overt act is only against the other accused and the co-accused/A6 has already granted bail by this Court, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned Judicial Magistrate Court-II, Tiruvannamalai*, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10:30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



[c] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[d] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24-02-2026

GBI

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.The State Rep by its The Sub Inspector of Police
Tiruvannamalai East Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.621 of 2025
- 2.The Judicial Magistrate Court-II,
Tiruvannamalai.
- 3.Vellore Central Prison, Vellore.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4297 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 4297 of 2026

24-02-2026