



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP No. 8366 of 2026

R.Chandrasekaran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Tamil Nadu Housing Board (TNHB),
Coimbatore Housing Unit, Tatabad,
Coimbatore 641 012.

..Respondent(s)

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking Writ of Mandamus, directing the Respondent under Section 72 of Tamil Nadu Housing Board Act, 1961 to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 13.11.2025 in respect of House No.179/72, MIG Housing Unit, Vannarapettai, Coonoor-2 by accepting the admitted outstanding dues and by regularising the petitioner's occupation and transferring the allotment in favour of the petitioner, within a time frame to be fixed by this Honble Court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.Sathya Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.A.M.Ravindranath Jeyapal

**ORDER**

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondent to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 13.11.2025.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he is a senior citizen aged about 75 years, residing at House No.M-72, (New No.179/72), MIG Housing Unit, Vannarapettai, Coonoor. The said house was originally allotted to one N.R.C.Gothandapani by the Tamil Nadu Housing Board in the year 1988. The said Gothandapani made partial payment of Rs.77,410/- toward the cost of the unit. Subsequently, on 09.08.2005, a “Bhogyam” (Usufructuary Mortgage) agreement was entered into between the said Gothandapani and the petitioner's brother, namely, B.Balakrishnan, whereby possession of the said house was handed over to his brother for a consideration of Rs.60,000/-. The petitioner's brother took possession and resided in the said property. Thereafter, the petitioner has been residing in the said premises for over two decades. He also spent Rs.8,00,000/- towards repairs, renovation and maintenance, thereby rendering the house habitable. According to the petitioner, the respondent has never objected to his long-standing occupation and has also accepted payment of taxes and other charges in his name.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that in the years 2017 and 2022, the respondent issued demand notices claiming outstanding amounts with interest



aggregating to Rs.90,264/- towards the balance cost of the house. Upon receipt of the demands, the petitioner approached the respondent expressing his willingness to clear the entire outstanding dues. However, the respondent refused to accept the payment solely on the ground that the petitioner is not the original allottee and threatened eviction. Hence, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 13.11.2025. Since the same has not been considered, the present Writ Petition has been filed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been residing in the said house for more than two decades and he is ready and willing to pay the entire dues. Therefore, the allotment may be regularised in his name.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 13.11.2025 and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

03-03-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
PVS



WP No. 8366 of 2



To
The Tamil Nadu Housing Board
Coimbatore Housing Unit, Tatabad,
Coimbatore 641 012.



WEB COPY

WP No. 8366 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI, J.

PVS

WP No. 8366 of 2026

03-03-2026