



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4238 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 4238 of 2026

Sentamil Selvan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
CHIDAMBARAM A.W.PS.
Cuddalore District.
Crime No.55 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the Petitioner on Bail pending investigation in Crime No.55 of 2025 on the file of Respondent Police and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.D.Dayalan

For Respondent(s):

Dr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.12.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 332(b) of BNS & 5(n), 5(l), 5(j)(ii) r/w 6 of POCSO Act, in Crime No.55 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, who is aged about



30 years was in love affair with the victim girl, aged about 17 years; that the petitioner induced the victim girl and committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault; which caused pregnancy and aborted on the instigation of the petitioner.

Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent; that the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.12.2025; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate for the investigation and prayed for bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the victim girl is aged about 16 years at the time of occurrence; that the petitioner taking advantage of the age of the victim girl had committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault on the victim girl, thereby she got pregnant and subsequently aborted on the instigation of the petitioner. Hence, he opposed for the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have considered the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering facts and circumstances of the case, grave nature of offence, the 183 BNSS statement of the victim girl narrates various disturbing facts and if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, there is possibility of hampering of the trial process, hence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

20-02-2026

GBI

To

1.State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
CHIDAMBARAM A.W.PS.
Cuddalore District.
Crime No.55 of 2025
2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4238 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 4238 of 2026

20-02-2026