



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 8792 of 2026

Rajeshwari

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Central Chennai Division,
Ambattur Estate Road,
Annanagar West Extension,
Chennai- 600 101.
2. The Sub- Registrar
Virugambakkam,
Chennai-092.
3. The District collector
Chennai District,
Chennai- 600 101.
4. Meena

..Respondent(s)

Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking for issuance of a writ of certiorari to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the first respondent in Na. Ka.No. 6553/ 2025/ A4 dated 24.10.2025 and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.A.Rajapandian

For Respondent(s):

Mr.K.Surendran, AGP
for R1 to R3



ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 24.10.2025 passed by the first respondent cancelling the settlement deed executed by the fourth respondent in favour of the petitioner under the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007, (in short “Senior Citizens Act”).

2. The petitioner is the daughter of the fourth respondent. Based on a complaint lodged by the fourth respondent against the petitioner under the provisions of the Senior Citizens Act, the settlement deed executed by the fourth respondent in favour of the petitioner has been ordered to be cancelled by the first respondent under the impugned order dated 24.10.2025. Aggrieved by the same, a statutory appellate remedy is available to the petitioner as per the provisions of the Senior Citizens Act.

3. In fact, the petitioner had already filed the said statutory appeal before the third respondent, which is still pending. Pending the said appeal, the petitioner has filed this writ petition challenging the very same order dated 24.10.2025 passed by the first respondent, which is also the subject matter of the appeal filed by the petitioner before the third respondent. Therefore, this writ petition cannot be entertained, since it is not maintainable in view of the fact that the petitioner has already preferred a statutory appeal as against the



very same impugned order dated 24.10.2025 before the third respondent. The only relief that can be granted to the petitioner in this writ petition is to direct the third respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court. If such a direction is issued, it will also not prejudice the rights of the fourth respondent.

4. Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the third respondent to dispose of the appeal dated 26.11.2025 filed by the petitioner against the impugned order dated 24.10.2025 passed by the first respondent, on merits and in accordance with law, after hearing the objections of the fourth respondent, and by adhering to the principles of natural justice, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Since no adverse orders are passed against the fourth respondent in this writ petition, notice to the fourth respondent in this writ petition is dispensed with. No Costs. Consequently, connected writ miscellaneous petitions are closed.

06-03-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
RKM

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Central Chennai Division,
Ambattur Estate Road,
Chennai- 600 101.
2. The Sub- Registrar, Virugambakkam,
Chennai-092.
3. The District collector
Chennai District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 8792 of 2



ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

RKM

WP No. 8792 of 2026

06-03-2026