



WEB COPY



WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR

WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

Prema Ram Seervi

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu,
Chengalpattu District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Mamallapuram Circle,
Mamallapuram,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Mamallapuram Police Station,
Chengalpattu district.
4. Thalpath Singh

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS directing the 3rd respondent conduct enquiry on the basis of the petitioners complaint dated 03.01.2024 bearing CSR No.3/2024 issued by the 3rd respondent and complete the same within a time frame fixed by this Court.



WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.M.Gnanasekar

For Respondent(s):

Mr. Leonard Arul Joseph Selvam
Additional Public Prosecutor for
Ms.T.Harshana for R1 to R3

Order

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the 3rd respondent to conduct an enquiry based on the petitioner's complaint dated 03.01.2024, bearing CSR No. 3/2024, issued by the 3rd respondent, and to complete the same within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

2. Considering the submissions made and upon perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the petitioner is the proprietor of K.K. Thangamaligai who has lodged a complaint against the 4th respondent. The 4th respondent, a former employee of the petitioner, is alleged to have misappropriated jewellery and articles belonging to the petitioner, amounting to several lakhs. Though the complaint was lodged, no effective steps have been taken against the 4th respondent. Instead, the petitioner has been repeatedly called under the guise of enquiry and allegedly harassed.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that, pursuant to the petitioner's complaint, the 4th respondent was called for enquiry. Both the



WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

petitioner and the 4th respondent appeared on 09.03.2026. The petitioner sought time to verify the stock of jewellery and accounts and undertook to revert on the following day. The 4th respondent also agreed to submit his explanation and initially contended that the petitioner was fabricating old bill books and making unnecessary claims. He further stated that he is ready to provide a full explanation.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submitted that the petitioner would appear before the respondent on 02.04.2026 along with necessary documents. The respondent police are directed to ensure the presence of the 4th respondent on that day, conduct the enquiry, and proceed further in accordance with law. The respondent police shall not unnecessarily summon or harass either the petitioner or the 4th respondent under the guise of enquiry.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

24-03-2026

RKP
Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non Speaking Order



WEB COPY



WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

**M.NIRMAL KUMAR J.
RKP**

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Chengalpattu,
Chengalpattu district.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Mamallapuram Circle,
Mamallapuram,
Chengalpattu District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Mamallapuram Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Madras.

WP CrI. No. 390 of 2026

24-03-2026

Page4 of 4