



WEB COPY



Cont P.No.948 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.03.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K. SURENDER

Cont P.No.948 of 2026

Syed Moula
S/o.Abdul Sathar

... Petitioner

VS.

1. K.Gangai
The Tahsildar
Denkanikottai Taluk
Krishnagiri District – 635 107.

2. R.Virupaksha
S/o.Rajappa

... Respondents

Contempt Petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, praying to punish the respondents for continuously and deliberately disobeying the orders of this Court passed in W.P.No.33727 of 2025 dated 04.09.2025.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.P.Satheesh Kumar
For Respondents	:	Mr.D.Ravichander Special Government Pleader for R1

Page Nos.1/4



WEB COPY



Cont P.No.948 of 2026

ORDER

[Judgment of the Court was made by **S. M. SUBRAMANIAM, J.,**]

This contempt petition has been filed to punish the respondents for their wilful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 04.09.2025 passed in W.P.No.33727 of 2025.

2. The operative portion of the order dated 04.09.2025 in W.P.No.33727 of 2025 reads as under:

“10. Impugned communication has now become infructuous as coercive action, if any, can be only subject to proceedings under said 1905 Act. Therefore, impugned communication is dislodged albeit with preservation of rights of State in the aforesaid manner.

11. Certiorari limb of captioned WP is allowed. As regards mandamus limb, it is open to the State to consider the writ petitioner's request for patta. In the light of what we have written regarding coercive action, captioned Writ Miscellaneous Petition (WMP) thereat has become otiose and the same is disposed of as closed. There shall be no order as to costs.”



Cont P.No.948 of 2026

3. In view of the direction issued by this Court to consider the petitioner's application for the grant of patta, the learned Special Government Pleader, would submit that the authorities have considered and rejected the application filed by the petitioner. Therefore, the direction issued to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner has been complied with.

4. If at all, the petitioner claims patta on any other grounds on merits, it is to be adjudicated in the manner known to law. However, this Court does not find any wilful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 04.09.2025 passed in W.P.No.33727 of 2025.

Thus, the Contempt Petition stands dismissed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (K.S.,J.)
25.03.2026

Index : Yes
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
Speaking / Non-speaking order

mk



WEB COPY



Cont P.No.948 of 2026

**S. M. SUBRAMANIAM, J.,
and
K. SURENDER, J.,**

mk

Cont P.No.948 of 2026

25.03.2026

Page Nos.4/4