



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4184 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 19-02-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP No. 4184 of 2026**

1. Saravanan

S/o. Velan,

No.60, Ambedkar Nagar, 4th Street,

Korukkupet, Washermenpet,

Chennai-600 021.

2. Sathya

S/o. Vinayagamoorthy,

No.86E, K.H. Road,

Namalarpettai, Ayanavaram, Chennai.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by The Inspector of Police

T-12, Chrompet Police Station,

Chrompet, Chennai-600 044.

Crime No.263 of 2025

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, 2023, pleaded to release the petitioner on bail petitioner on bail pending in crime no.263 of 2024 on the file of the respondent / police and thus render justice.



For Petitioner(s):

M/s.M.J.Senthil Kumar

For Respondent(s):

Mr.C.E.Pratap

Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.11.2025 for the alleged offence punishable under Section 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 311 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.263 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners joined hands with other accused attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and also taken away Rs.3,500/- at knife point, and damaged the properties of the house. Hence the case.

3. This is 3rd bail petition. Earlier bail petition of the petitioners was dismissed twice on 23.01.2026 in Crl.O.P.No.1523 of 2026 on the following reasons:

“5. I have considered the submissions made on both sides and perused the records, which revealed that the petitioners joined together and attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and also snatched away the money. It is



also reported that the petitioners are having bad antecedents of 10 and 9 previous cases respectively. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.”

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that after dismissal of the petitioners earlier bail petitions, the main accused/A1 in this case has already been granted bail by the Sessions Court. He further submitted that the petitioners are in judicial custody since 07.11.2025 and ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Though it was found that reasons stated by the Sessions Court for granting bail is not proper, however considering the fact that co-accused were already granted bail and so far no cancellation of bail is filed, this Court is inclined to grant bail to this petitioner also with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their



executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram**, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks.**

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

19-02-2026

MPA

**Note:**

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Pallavaram.
2. The State Rep. by The Inspector of Police  
T-12, Chrompet Police Station,  
Chrompet, Chennai-600 044.  
Crime No.263 of 2025
3. The Superintendent,  
Central Prison, Puzhal-II, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4184 of 2



**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**

**MPA**

**CRL OP No. 4184 of 2026**

**19-02-2026**