



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4317 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 4317 of 2026

Suganya

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by, The Inspector of Police
Eriyur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District
(crime no 156 of 2025)

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in crime no.156 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police and thus render justice

For Petitioner(s): M/S.W.Camyles Gandhi

For Respondent(s): Dr.C.E.Pratap
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.12.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 194 of BNSS @ Sections 90, 94 of BNS and Section 23(1) of Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques Act 1994 and Section 34(2) of National Medical Commission Act 2019, in Crime No.156 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2.The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is ranked as A2 in this case. The deceased approached the petitioner, who claimed herself to be a nurse, and she joined hands with the other accused involved in Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques and female infanticide. The procedure followed by the petitioner led to complications, and later, due to excessive bleeding, the deceased died after two days. Hence, the case was registered and upon investigation, it was revealed that the petitioner was the one who conducted the miscarriage of a three-month pregnancy without sufficient qualification.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in incarceration since 01.12.2025 and that some of the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and sought bail for the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was the one who conducted the miscarriage using various techniques, which resulted in the death of the deceased. Earlier, the petitioner was detained under Act 14, 1882 and the



same has now been revoked. However, the petitioner also has one previous case. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5.I have also gone through the FIR and connected materials, and it is revealed that the petitioner is the main accused in this case and performed some kind of surgery on the victim, thereby causing complications. After two days, the deceased succumbed to the injuries. As the petitioner has one previous case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

20-02-2026

GBI

To

1.State rep by, The Inspector of Police
Eriyur Police Station,
Dharmapuri District
(crime no 156 of 2025)
2.The Public Prosecutor,
High court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 4317 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 4317 of 2026

20-02-2026