



WEB COPY



Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13.03.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. DHANDAPANI

Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

1. M/. Jaiswal Products
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory
Mr.Yakob
Manufacturer of Hans Chhap Tobacco
Patparganj Industrial Area
Delhi 110 092.
 2. Ms.Murarilal Harish Chandra Jaiswal Pvt Ltd
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory
Mr.Yakob
Manufacturer of Hans Chhap Tobacco
Patparganj Industrial Area
Delhi 110 092
- ... Petitioners

Vs

1. Mr.R.Lalvena, I.A.S
The Commissioner of Food Safety and
Drug Administration
359, Anna Salai
Chennai 600 006.
 2. Mr.G.Venkataraman, I.P.S
The Director General of Police
Post Box No.601 Dr.Radhakrishnan Salai
Mylapore
Chennai 600 004.
- ... Respondents



Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

Prayer: Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondent under the Contempt of Court Act, 1971 for their deliberate disobedience in carrying out the directions of this Court order passed on 22.07.2025 in W.P. No.22614 of 2025.

For Petitioners : Mr.D.Saikumaran

ORDER

This Contempt Petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order passed by this Court in W.P. No.22614 of 2025, dated 22.07.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is engaged in dealing with Hanschhap Tobacco products and that despite the order passed by this Court on 22.07.2025 in W.P. No.22614 of 2025 directing the respondents not to take coercive steps, the respondents are still attempting to seize the petitioner's products and a preliminary notice has been issued by the respondents in the above regard.

3. Upon considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, it is to be noted that except making a bald allegation, the petitioner has not produced any material before this Court to establish that the respondents have taken any coercive steps, such as seizure of



Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

the petitioner's products, in violation of the order passed by this Court. Mere issuance of notice by the respondents, in accordance with law, cannot be construed as wilful disobedience of the order of this Court.

4. In the absence of any material to substantiate the allegation of violation of the order dated 22.07.2025 in W.P. No.22614 of 2025, this Court is of the considered view that no case of contempt is made out. Accordingly, the Contempt Petition is closed.

13.03.2026

Index : Yes / No

Speaking Order / Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation Case : Yes / No

vsi2



WEB COPY



Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

M. DHANDAPANI, J.

vsi2

Cont. P. No.968 of 2026

13.03.2026