



WEB COPY

WP No. 5951 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

WP No. 5951 of 2026

and

WMP.Nos.6477 & 6479 of 2026

M/S.Texnet Trading Impex Pvt. Ltd
Rep by its Director.Aslam Mohamed Azeez,
No.15, South Madha Church Street,
Royapuram,Chennai 600 013

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)(FAC)
Royapuram Assessment circle,
No.32, Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Vepery, Chennai- 600 003.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari to call for the records relating to the Order in GSTIN 33AAECTO256A1ZO/2017-18 dated 22-7-2024 passed by the respondent and to quash the same as unsustainable in law as the same has been passed without considering the reply filed by the petitioner and without proper reasons for confirming the proposal.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.M.A.Mudimannan

For Respondent(s): Ms.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran
Government Advocate

ORDER

Ms.Amirtha Poonkodi Dinakaran, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.



WEB COPY

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 22.07.2024, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 23.09.2023 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 22.07.2024.

4. The Petitioner was also issued with Reminder on 01.07.2024 which called upon the Petitioner to file a reply and to appear for a personal hearing. However, the Petitioner neither filed any reply nor appeared for the personal hearing despite multiple opportunities. Thus, the impugned Order has been passed.

5. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 13.02.2026.



WEB COPY

6. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 50% of the disputed tax as a condition for *denovo* adjudication.

7. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle which is extracted hereunder:-

“The petitioner is willing to pay 50% (fifty) of Tax Demand as per Impugned order.”

8. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.

9. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 50% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.



WEB COPY

10. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 23.09.2023 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 22.07.2024 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 23.09.2023.

11. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner shall also stand automatically vacated.

12. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 50% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

13. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed *in limine* today.



WEB COPY

14. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

15. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.

No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

25-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

av

To

The Assistant Commissioner (ST)(FAC)
Royapuram Assessment circle,
No.32, Elephant Gate Bridge Road,
Vepery, Chennai- 600 003.



WEB COPY

WP No. 5951 of 2



C.SARAVANAN, J.

av

WP No. 5951 of 2026
and
WMP.Nos.6477 & 6479 of 2026

25-02-2026