



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.03.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C. SARAVANAN

W.P.No.7580 of 2026

and

W.M.P.Nos.8189 & 8192 of 2026

Tvl. Shriraam Industries,
Represented by its Proprietor
Thilakavathy,
No.2/9, NA, Krishna Industrial Estate,
Mettukuppam, Vanagaram,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600095.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Porur Assessment Circle,
No.4/109, I Floor, Bangalore Highway Road,
Varadharajapuram, Nazarathpet,
Poonamallee – 600123.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records Respondent pertaining to the impugned order passed in Form GST DRC-07 bearing Reference No.ZD3302251796747 dated 18.02.2025, issued under Section 73 of the Tamil Nadu Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 read with the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 (herein after referred to as “GST Act, 2017”) and quash the same as arbitrary, illegal and unjust, and
1/6



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

consequently direct the respondent to redo the assessment after affording opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Suresh

For Respondent : Mrs.P.Selvi,
Government Advocate

ORDER

Mrs.P.Selvi, learned Government Advocate takes notice for the Respondent.

2. This Writ Petition is being disposed of at the stage of admission itself with the consent of the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Government Advocate for the Respondent.

3. In this Writ Petition, the Petitioner has challenged the impugned Order dated 18.02.2025, which was preceded by a Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 28.11.2024 wherein the Petitioner was called upon to appear for personal hearing. However, the Petitioner had not taken advantage of the same and thus, suffered the impugned Order dated 18.02.2025.



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

4. It is noticed that the limitation for filing an appeal under Section 107 of the respective GST enactments, 2017 against the impugned Order has already expired. The present Writ Petition has been filed only on 23.02.2026.

5. At this stage, the learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is willing to pre-deposit 25% of the disputed tax as a condition for denovo adjudication.

6. The learned counsel for the Petitioner has also made an endorsement to that effect in the Court bundle, which is extracted hereunder:

“Petitioner agree to pay 25% of the tax due within 2 months and also Bank A/c defree”

7. Under similar circumstances, Orders have been quashed and cases have been remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on terms subject to such Assessee depositing 25% to 100% of the disputed tax depending upon the length of delay in approaching the Court. I do not find any reason to take a different view in this case.



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

WEB COPY

8. Therefore, to balance the interest of both parties viz., the Assessee and the Revenue, the case is remitted back to the Respondent to pass a fresh order on merits subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax in cash or from the Petitioner's Electronic Cash Register within a period of thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

9. Within such time, the Petitioner shall also file a reply to the Show Cause Notice in GST DRC-01 dated 28.11.2024 together with requisite documents to substantiate the case by treating the impugned Order dated 18.02.2025 as an addendum to the Show Cause Notice dated 28.11.2024.

10. In case the Petitioner complies with the above stipulations, the Respondent shall proceed to pass a final order on merits and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of three (3) months of such reply/pre-deposit. Subject to the Petitioner complying with the above stipulations, the attachment of the bank account of the Petitioner if any, shall also stand automatically vacated.



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

11. It is made clear that bank attachment shall be lifted subject to the Petitioner depositing 25% of the disputed tax as ordered above and the Petitioner not being in arrears of any other amount for any other tax period barring the amount demanded under the impugned Order.

12. In case the Petitioner fails to comply with any of the stipulations, the Respondent is at liberty to proceed against the Petitioner to recover the tax in accordance with law as if this Writ Petition was dismissed in limine today.

13. Needless to state, before passing any such order, the Respondent shall give due notice to the Petitioner.

14. This Writ Petition stands disposed of with the above observations.
No costs. Connected Writ Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

02.03.2026

kmm

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

5/6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7580 of 2026

C.SARAVANAN J.

kmm

To:

The Assistant Commissioner (ST),
Porur Assessment Circle,
No.4/109, I Floor, Bangalore Highway Road,
Varadharajapuram, Nazarathpet,
Poonamallee – 600 123.

W.P.No.7580 of 2026

and

W.M.P.Nos.8189 & 8192 of 2026

02.03.2026