

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.3479 of 2026

Thavamani

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by The Inspector of Police,
Virinchipuram Police Station,
Vellore District
(Crime No.26 of 2026)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with the Crime No.26 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.T. Saravanan

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 324(4), 351(3) of BNS and TNWH Act and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in connection with the Cr.No.26 of 2026, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that this petitioner forced the victim lady to have sexual relationship with him and the same was refused by her. Hence, the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the victim's house and pushed her and attacked her with hands and legs and also

threatened her with dire consequences. It is alleged that the petitioner and other accused have damaged the CCTV footage and other materials and caused damages to the tune of Rs.25,000/- . Hence the present petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that due to money dispute a false case has been foisted against the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and abide by any other stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the victim lady is a widow and the petitioner taking advantage of the same has demanded her to have physical relationship with her and since she has not accepted the same, the occurrence had taken place. He also produced the photographs of the occurrence which reveals that the petitioner was attacking the victim lady and also damaged the CCTV and other materials. Hence he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts of the case; submission made by both

counsel; the manner in which the occurrence has taken place; nature of offences and other factors; if this petitioner is granted anticipatory bail, he will involve in similar activities in future. Hence this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

17.02.2026

smn

To:

1. The Inspector of Police,
Virinchipuram Police Station,
Vellore District

2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

smn

Crl.O.P.No.3479 of 2026

17.02.2026