



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 13.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No. 3513 of 2026

Ganesan

... Petitioner/A5

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police
CBCID Perambalur
In Crime No. 3 of 2025.

Prayer: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No. 3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police pending investigation.

For Petitioner	: Mr.G.Moses
For Respondent	: Mr.A.Gopinath Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 30.12.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 120(b), 420, 465, 468, 471 of IPC and Sections 3 & 5 of Emblems & name (Prev. of Improper Use) Act, 1950, as per Order No.C.No.C1(1) 270/E-11853439-2025, dated 10.10.2025 in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of respondent police seeks bail.

1/6



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant namely one Mutharasan is an agriculturist who gave the complaint before the respondent police alleged that one Aadhimoolan/A2 is a BJP District Level Officer in Perambalur in the year 2013 and the de-facto complainant is a member in the BJP Party and A2 was dishonestly induced and false promise made to the de-facto complainant if Rs.1,00,000/- is invested to the trust and they have returned back Rs.1 Crore within 3 or 4 months and thereby the de-facto complainant paid Rs.3,00,000/- to A2 on 03.03.2013 in front of Pachaiaamman Temple, Keelapuliyur and then the de-facto complainant demanded money to A2 and it was replied that the entire money was given to A1. It is further alleged that A1 who is the kingpin of the entire scam in the name and style of Savarimuthu Aruldoss Memorial Trust misused the RBI Emblems and names for the purpose of cheating the several crores of rupees from the common innocents, victims including the de-facto complainant based on the conspiracy between A1 to A8, which leading to the case of registration against the petitioner. Hence, the case.



WEB COPY

3.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is arrayed as A5. The petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused have already been granted bail by this Court in Crl.O.P.No. 29995 of 2025, dated 20.11.2025. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that a total amount involved in this case is Rs.40.56 crores and this petitioner joined hands with A3 collected a total sum of Rs.Four crores from 30 victims and out of which, Rs.90,00,000/- was paid to A1 and remaining amount has been shared between A3 and A5. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5.I have also gone through the orders passed by this Court in Crl.O.P.Nos.29659 & 29995 of 2025 vide order dated 20.11.2025 & 07.01.2026 respectively and the facts for granting bail to the co-accused in Crl.O.P.No. 29995 of 2025, dated 20.11.2025 is different. The overt-act attributed to the co-accused is that he collected a sum of Rs.10,00,000/-,



whereas, the other petitioner in CrI.O.P.No.29659 of 2025, he collected a sum of Rs.15,00,000/- from the de-facto complainant. Though this petitioner is in judicial custody from 30.12.2025, it is stated that the petitioner collected a total amount of Rs.4 crores and Rs.90,00,000/- has been paid to A1 and remaining amount has been shared between A3 and A5. Under such circumstances, and also considering the fact that so far no remaining amount has been recovered from this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

13.02.2026

To

1.The Inspector of Police
CBCID Perambalur
In Crime No. 3 of 2025.

2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 3513 of 2026

13.02.2026