



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 3750 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 3750 of 2026

M.Karthikeyan

S/o.Mr.Margabandhu,

Thottalam Village,

Madhanur,

Ambur Taluk.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State rep.by,
Inspector of Police,
Ambur Taluk Police Station,
Ambur.

2. Rajathi
W/o.Mr.Suresh Kumar,
Residing at
S/o.Suresh Kumar,
Madhanur main road,
Ambur,
Ambur Taluk,
Tirupathur District.

3. Suresh Kumar Alias Venkatesan
S/o.Not known,



Residing at
S/o.Suresh Kumar,
Madhanur main road,
Ambur,
Ambur Taluk,
Tirupathur District.

4. Sakthi

S/o.Suresh kumar,
Residing at
S/o.Suresh Kumar,
Madhanur main road,
Ambur,
Ambur Taluk,
Tirupathur District.

5. Velan

S/o.Suresh Kumar,
Residing at
S/o.Suresh Kumar,
Madhanur main road,
Ambur,
Ambur Taluk,
Tirupathur District.

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:**

This petition has been filed seeking to set aside the returned docket order in Crl.MP.SR.No.6983 of 2025, dated 07.11.2025 passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Ambur and direct the Judicial Magistrate, Ambur to take on file and number the petition filed by the petitioner u/s.175(3) of BNSS .

For Petitioner(s): Mrs.K.Balasubramaniam

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Santhosh, GA (Cri.side) for R1

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking to set aside the returned docket order in Crl.MP.SR.No.6983 of 2025, dated 07.11.2025 passed by the Judicial Magistrate, Ambur and direct the Judicial Magistrate, Ambur, to take the petition filed by the petitioner u/s.175(3) of BNSS on file and number the same.

2.The case of the petitioner is that he has filed a petition under Section 175(3) of BNSS against one Mrs.Rajathi and 3 others. The learned Magistrate had returned the petition on various dates with the following observations:



WEB COPY

Hhtr.

Already this present
petn dismissed. Only
revision would lie.

Further more the
rulings produced by
the Courts is not
squarely applicable
to this case.

~~10/10/50~~Hhtr
Hhtr

Return:-

23.6.50

Transaction of Rs. 10,000.00/
[10 Lacs] is for violation by
Law. Hence the petition
is maintainable.

10/10/50

Jm.

Returned

29.6.50

The Transaction Amount
in nature is illegal.

Hence the
petition is maintainable

10/10/50

Jm.

Hhtr.

On perusal of the Record,
it is observed that the
transaction amount of
Rs. 50000 (fifteen lakhs Rupees)
was given as a ~~to~~ bribe in
connection with loan schemes.
Hence, the transaction being
illegal in nature, this
petition stands ~~dismissed~~.

10/10/50



WEB COPY

0 of 2



Represented the petitioner
Represented the petitioner
Though the transaction amount
is Rs 10,00,000/- the offence and
cause of action arose within the
jurisdiction of Hon'ble Court
and hence, no law is barred in
number the Cr. M.P., if registry is
not satisfy with this representation
be number the Cr. M.P., please place
it before Hon'ble Judge for Monitoring,
I have appear through V.C from
Chennai

27/10/25
Submitted for petition

Represented the petitioner

3/11/25
Submitted for petition

Represented the petitioner

The court may consider the
case on the basis of cognizable or not

3/11/25
Submitted for petition



3. Seeking to set aside the last returned docket order dated 07.11.2025, the present Original Petition has been filed.

WEB COPY

4. On perusal of the returns, it is seen that the learned Magistrate has returned the petition on several occasions prior to 07.11.2025. On 04.11.2025, the learned Magistrate, holding that the transactions are illegal in nature, had rejected the petition and the petitioner had once again re-presented the same on 07.11.2025 and the learned Magistrate has returned the same. When the learned Magistrate had rejected the petition on 04.11.2025, as rightly pointed out by the learned Magistrate, only a revision would lie against the order dated 04.11.2025 and hence, the petitioner cannot once again re-present the same.

5. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition and accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a revision against the order dated 04.11.2025.

6. Registry is directed to return the papers to the counsel for the petitioner.

16-02-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

DN



WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Ambur Taluk Police Station,
Ambur.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 3750 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA J.

DN

CRL OP No. 3750 of 2026

16-02-2026