



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.3116 of 2026

1. Rahman @ Abdulrahuman

2. Thillaimuthu

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep. by,

Represented by Inspector of Police,

Palladam Police Station,

Tiruppur District.

(Crime No.777 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita of BNSS Act, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in S.C.No.322 of 2025 on the file of the learned I - Additional District and Sessions Judge, Tiruppur may deem fit and proper to impose on the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Ponraj

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath

Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to Judicial custody from on 26.07.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS @ 303(2) and 111(2)(b) of BNS, in Crime No.777 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that they were involved in the theft of a two-wheeler worth about Rs.1,00,000/-. It is the further case of the prosecution that the petitioners were arrested and the stolen property was recovered from them in accordance with law. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were arrested on 26.07.2025 and have been in judicial custody for a considerable period. Though it is stated that they involved in previous cases, they have been in custody for nearly five months. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the first petitioner is involved in nine previous cases, including three cases under NDPS Act and six other cases of similar nature. The second petitioner is also involved in six previous cases of similar nature. He further submitted that the petitioners are habitual offenders and the stolen two-wheeler has already been recovered from them. Hence, he opposed to grant of bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the fact that though the stolen two-wheeler has already been recovered from the petitioners and also taking into account their involvement in several previous cases of similar nature, this Court is of the view that the petitioners appears to be habitual offenders. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

10.02.2026

kmm

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

kmm

Crl.O.P.No.3116 of 2026

10.02.2026