



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.06.2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

Crl.MP.No.7105 of 2026

against

Crl.O.P.No.32578 of 2025

R.Deena,
W/o Robert

... Petitioner/
Defacto complainant

Vs.

P.Prassath
Velankanni Madha Street,,
Thachambadi Village, Chetpet Taluk,
Thiruvannamalai District,
Tamil Nadu 606 902.

... Respondent/ Accused

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Polur, Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.86 of 2025)

... Petitioner /
Complainant side

PRAYER : Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, praying to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the respondent /accused in Crl.OP.NO.32578 of 2025 dated 01.12.2025, in connection with Crime No.86 of 2025 on the file of All Women Police Station, Polur and to direct the respondent police to secure the custody of the accused and proceed with investigation in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Lokeshwaran
For Respondent : Mr.N.Palanivel,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R2



ORDER

WEB COPY Heard both sides learned Counsel .

2.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the first respondent was granted anticipatory bail by this Court order dated 05.11.2025 in Crime No.86 of 2025 in CrI.OP.NO.32578 of 2025 and that, after being enlarged on bail, the respondent has been threatening the petitioner and issuing life threats.

3. The learned Government Advocate (crl.side) for the 2nd respondent strongly opposes the said contention.

4. Even on a perusal of the petition, there are no materials placed before this Court to substantiate such allegations. It is a well-settled principle of law that ***bail is the rule and jail is the exception***. An order granting bail cannot ordinarily be interfered with unless compelling circumstances are made out. In the present case, the allegations are supported only by the averments contained in the affidavit filed by the petitioner, and no independent material has been produced to substantiate the same.



5. In such circumstances, this Court does not find any valid ground to cancel the bail already granted. Accordingly, the petition stands dismissed.

25.06.2026

jrs

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Polur, Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No.86 of 2025)

2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



C.KUMARAPPAN.J.

jrs

**CrI.MP.No.7105 of 2026
against
CrI.O.P.No.32578 of 2025**

25.06.2026